

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: fraser.tolmie@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:52

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Fraser Tolmie** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Fraser Tolmie

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **fraser.tolmie@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Fraser Tolmie, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Fraser Tolmie, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial



entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal

Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:



I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or

other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and

seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdil's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities

Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.

73. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.

81. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.



91. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy

back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.

111. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul

Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and

orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Fraser Tolmie, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Fraser Tolmie, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.



138. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance

with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Fraser Tolmie, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Fraser Tolmie, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Fraser Tolmie, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Fraser Tolmie, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section

32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his

will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations,

partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”



Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Fraser Tolmie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Fraser Tolmie, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Fraser Tolmie with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to

this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: corey.tochor@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:52

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Corey Tochor** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Corey Tochor

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [corey.tochor@parl.gc.ca](mailto:corey.tochor@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Corey Tochor, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Corey Tochor, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private

contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of



the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

2. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a

recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.

7. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1

S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the

person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

22. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this

provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground

of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.



39. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of

election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.

53. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik.

The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his

inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.

61. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.

68. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the

investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.

76. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase

transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.

85. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your



acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD

Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.

101. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.

102. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.

105. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that

the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.

113. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors.

Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance

requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.

128. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the

OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Corey Tochor, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Corey Tochor, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.



139. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes,

maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Corey Tochor, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses,

Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Corey Tochor, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Corey Tochor, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Corey Tochor, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance

to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the

scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any

recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...



Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Corey Tochor, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Corey Tochor, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Corey Tochor with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: warren.steinley@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:51

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Warren Steinley** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity

of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Warren Steinley

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [warren.steinley@parl.gc.ca](mailto:warren.steinley@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Warren Steinley, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Warren Steinley, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario



and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the

Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be



irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to

establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the

documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.



93. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have

been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the

client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the

Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.

129. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and

President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Warren Steinley, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Warren Steinley, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not



required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated

in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.

145. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Warren Steinley, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Warren Steinley, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Warren Steinley, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Warren Steinley, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than

the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not

exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by

lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...



Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Warren Steinley, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Warren Steinley, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Warren Steinley with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: rene.villemure@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:49

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Rene Villemure** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity

of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Rene Villemure

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [rene.villemure@parl.gc.ca](mailto:rene.villemure@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Rene Villemure, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Rene Villemure, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario



and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the

Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be



irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to

establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the

documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.



93. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have

been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the

client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the

Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.

129. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and

President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Rene Villemure, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Rene Villemure, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not



required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated

in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.

145. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Rene Villemure, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Rene Villemure, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Rene Villemure, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Rene Villemure, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than

the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not

exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by

lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...



Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Rene Villemure, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Rene Villemure, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Rene Villemure with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: julie.vignola@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:49

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Julie Vignola** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of

Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Julie Vignola

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [julie.vignola@parl.gc.ca](mailto:julie.vignola@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Julie Vignola, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Julie Vignola, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario



and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian

monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be



irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1, 2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish

facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.



94. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid

by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.

107. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not

before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.

119. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase.

They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.

124. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.

130. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement, the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Julie Vignola, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing

that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Julie Vignola, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability,



validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.

146. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the

scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Julie Vignola, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Julie Vignola, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Julie Vignola, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute

binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Julie Vignola, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.
162. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath

bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam.

R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word



'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random

House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him – sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN

Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Julie Vignola, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling

with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Julie Vignola, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Julie Vignola with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping

in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

**SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**  
**FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>**  
**TO: dominique.vien@parl.gc.ca**  
**DATE: 24/04/2025 00:48**

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Dominique Vien** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Dominique Vien

**Parliament of Canadia**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **dominique.vien@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

# Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Dominique Vien, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Dominique Vien, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to

respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.



4. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor

in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"

14. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the “International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights” article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states;

education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden from me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child under age, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

25. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or

surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.

30. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as

it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit



such laws of God.

42. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my

consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.

49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of

“Conspiracy” under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and “Fraud and Perjury” under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; “OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005”, had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil’s employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice’s actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.

58. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times,

TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.

64. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on

Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.

71. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.

78. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age

of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.

88. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.



95. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.

101. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of

\$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.

108. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not

currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the

account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares from TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement

did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.

125. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.

131. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Dominique Vien, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*,

[2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Dominique Vien, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and



ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that

jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

147. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice,

enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Dominique Vien, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Dominique Vien, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Dominique Vien, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both

spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Dominique Vien, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women

cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn't it odd that the word lawful is

not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not "the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation". I am not "labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." So, I cannot be a 'person' under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an "individual". Black's Law Dictionary also defines a person as a "human being," which they define by stating "(i.e. natural person)". So, let's first check to see if I am an "individual".

Individual – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

"individual" as follows: "As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons."

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human



being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas

Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, Radin’s Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and

agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that this document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Dominique Vien, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to

the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Dominique Vien, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Dominique Vien with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: peter.schiefke@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:46

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Peter Schiefke** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Peter Schiefke

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [peter.schiefke@parl.gc.ca](mailto:peter.schiefke@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Peter Schiefke, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Peter Schiefke, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial

entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal



Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.



44. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or

other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer

and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario

Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

72. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).

80. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and



Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.

97. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW,

shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual

Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.

110. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Forms” also contained the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” that indicated “I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc...” and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client’s funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a “Small Business Investment Trust” (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact

forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “New Clients” were established. Hatice Pakdil was their “Investment Advisor” and responsible for the client’s account. The Company completed all the requirements of a “Pre-Approved Private Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW’s “New Client(s)” instructs there “Investment Advisor” Hatice Pakdil to “acquire” shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.”, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares” with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with

all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.

133. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Schiefke, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Schiefke, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon

breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated



restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.

149. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially

compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Schiefke, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Schiefke, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Schiefke, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Schiefke, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath

to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership,

corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.



Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m

wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed

objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Peter Schiefke, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Peter Schiefke, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Peter Schiefke with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

**SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**  
**FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>**  
**TO: francis.scarpaleggia@parl.gc.ca**  
**DATE: 24/04/2025 00:46**

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Francis Scarpaleggia** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Francis Scarpaleggia

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [francis.scarpaleggia@parl.gc.ca](mailto:francis.scarpaleggia@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Francis Scarpaleggia, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Francis Scarpaleggia, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing

the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word



“Canada” in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the “JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT”

6. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of

law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing

under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.

28. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

33. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am

now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and

Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.



45. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and

remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1, 2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario

Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or

appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man.  
Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.

73. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.

81. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and



Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.

97. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any

shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company” and “ I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. “This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the “Privet Placement Agreement” in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.

109. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then held in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Private Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place

yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.

125. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in

part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.

132. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Francis Scarpaleggia, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Francis Scarpaleggia, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.



141. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty,

enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.

148. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Francis Scarpaleggia, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2-5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Francis Scarpaleggia, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Francis Scarpaleggia, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Francis Scarpaleggia, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn't it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not "the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation". I am not "labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." So, I cannot be a 'person' under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an "individual". Black's Law Dictionary also defines a person as a "human being," which they define by stating "(i.e. natural person)". So, let's first check to see if I am an "individual".

Individual – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

"individual" as follows: "As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons."

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.



Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not

regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in

what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, Radin’s Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving

my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Francis Scarpaleggia, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Francis Scarpaleggia, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Francis Scarpaleggia with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: simon-pierre.savard-tremblay@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:45

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Simon Pierre** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Simon Pierre

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **simon-pierre.savard-tremblay@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Simon Pierre, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Simon Pierre, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission



on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can

authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law,

courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by

omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in

law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest

performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and

informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.



43. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or

woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14

50. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had

provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.

59. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.

64. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

72. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).

80. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and



Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.

97. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW,

shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual

Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.

110. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Forms” also contained the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” that indicated “I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc...” and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client’s funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a “Small Business Investment Trust” (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact

forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “New Clients” were established. Hatice Pakdil was their “Investment Advisor” and responsible for the client’s account. The Company completed all the requirements of a “Pre-Approved Private Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW’s “New Client(s)” instructs there “Investment Advisor” Hatice Pakdil to “acquire” shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.”, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares” with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with

all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.

133. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Simon Pierre, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Simon Pierre, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon

breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated



restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.

149. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially

compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Simon Pierre, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Simon Pierre, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Simon Pierre, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Simon Pierre, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath

to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership,

corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.



Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m

wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed

objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Simon Pierre, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Simon Pierre, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Simon Pierre with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17, 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16, Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

**SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**  
**FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>**  
**TO: christine.normandin@parl.gc.ca**  
**DATE: 24/04/2025 00:43**

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Christine Normandin** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Christine Normandin

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [christine.normandin@parl.gc.ca](mailto:christine.normandin@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Christine Normandin, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Christine Normandin, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing

the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word



“Canada” in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the “JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT”

6. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of

law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing

under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.

28. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

33. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am

now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and

Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.



45. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and

remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1, 2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario

Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or

appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man.  
Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.

73. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.

81. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and



Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.

97. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any

shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company” and “ I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. “This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the “Privet Placement Agreement” in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.

109. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place

yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.

125. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in

part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.

132. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Christine Normandin, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Christine Normandin, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.



141. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty,

enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.

148. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Christine Normandin, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2-5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Christine Normandin, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Christine Normandin, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Christine Normandin, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personae: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn't it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not "the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation". I am not "labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." So, I cannot be a 'person' under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an "individual". Black's Law Dictionary also defines a person as a "human being," which they define by stating "(i.e. natural person)". So, let's first check to see if I am an "individual".

Individual – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

"individual" as follows: "As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons."

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.



Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not

regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in

what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving

my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Christine Normandin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Christine Normandin, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Christine Normandin with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: marc.miller@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:43

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Marc Miller** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Marc Miller

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [marc.miller@parl.gc.ca](mailto:marc.miller@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Marc Miller, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Marc Miller, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission



on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can

authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will

strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *2015 R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by

omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in

law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest

performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and

informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.



43. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or

woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14

50. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contract in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had

provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.

59. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.

64. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

72. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).

80. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and



Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.

97. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW,

shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with

TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.

110. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash

in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then held in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Private Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the

investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.

133. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Marc Miller, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Marc Miller, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or

failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated



restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.

149. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating

them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Marc Miller, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Marc Miller, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Marc Miller, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Marc Miller, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the

Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership,

corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.



Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m

wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is

received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Marc Miller, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Marc Miller, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Marc Miller with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17, 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16, Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

**SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**  
**FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>**  
**TO: kristina.michaud@parl.gc.ca**  
**DATE: 24/04/2025 00:42**

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Kristina Michaud** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Kristina Michaud

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,

Geographic Location

Sent to [kristina.michaud@parl.gc.ca](mailto:kristina.michaud@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Kristina Michaud, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Kristina Michaud, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the

functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word



“Canada” in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the “JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT”

6. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada* (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law,

and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under

international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.

28. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following

fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be



made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed

with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.

75. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good

standing.

83. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.

92. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or



1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the

new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.

112. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-

Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.

122. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclose to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Kristina Michaud, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Kristina Michaud, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD

Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.

142. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful



living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in

writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Kristina Michaud, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary

breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Kristina Michaud, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Kristina Michaud, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Kristina Michaud, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to

acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.

162. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queen's ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths

of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race';



and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws

into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Kristina Michaud, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Kristina Michaud, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Kristina Michaud with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to

this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: patricia.lattanzio@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:40

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Patricia Lattanzio** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Patricia Lattanzio

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [patricia.lattanzio@parl.gc.ca](mailto:patricia.lattanzio@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Patricia Lattanzio, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Patricia Lattanzio, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot

support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465

and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

2. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a



recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.

7. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997]

- 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
  13. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
  14. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
  15. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
  16. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and

security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

22. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this

provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits

discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of



election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.

53. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Provence of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard

Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on

his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.

61. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities

laws.

68. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse

compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.

76. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".

84. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the

applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lie.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a



nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.

101. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.

102. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.

105. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.

112. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.

122. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had overseen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil

allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Patricia Lattanzio, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Patricia Lattanzio, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.



143. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.

149. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by

financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Patricia Lattanzio, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Patricia Lattanzio, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Patricia Lattanzio, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Patricia Lattanzio, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has

taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly

dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or

any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because



there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [*Amon v. Moreschi*, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God’s law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with

informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Patricia Lattanzio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Patricia Lattanzio, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally

against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Patricia Lattanzio with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>

TO: andreanne.larouche@parl.gc.ca

DATE: 24/04/2025 00:40

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,

owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Andreanne Larouche** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Andreanne Larouche

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [andreanne.larouche@parl.gc.ca](mailto:andreanne.larouche@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Andreanne Larouche, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Andreeanne Larouche, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.



5. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil

rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law

16. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights,

Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the “Defender of the faith” and now King Charles III “Defender of the faith”. This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God’s law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

27. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement

32. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding

provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency

effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights,



along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.

45. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this

case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the

proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard

trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man.  
Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form

the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.

73. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).

80. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing

of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.



96. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.

102. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then

notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.

109. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by

instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability

to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that

requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.

125. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the

lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.

131. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Andreeanne Larouche, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest

performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Andreanne Larouche, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your



obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any

matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

147. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to

restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Andreanne Larouche, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2-5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Andreanne Larouche, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Andreanne Larouche, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly

correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Andreanne Larouche, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission

has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used

by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”



Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him – sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Andreeanne Larouche, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of

Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Andreanne Larouche, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling

with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Andreanne Larouche, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Andreanne Larouche with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping

in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

**SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**  
**FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>**  
**TO: emmanuella.lambropoulos@parl.gc.ca**  
**DATE: 24/04/2025 00:39**

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Emmanuella Lambropoulos** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Emmanuella Lambropoulos

**Parliament of Canadia**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **emmanuella.lambropoulos@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

# Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially

performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen



Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.

4. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter

operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that

the “law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power”

14. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32

19. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the “International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights” article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden from me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
  
20. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was “Shanghaied” by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the “Defender of the faith” and now King Charles III “Defender of the faith”. This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
  
21. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
  
22. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
  
23. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
  
24. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath

of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

25. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court,



tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in

my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been

truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatices's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatices Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was

deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.

61. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to

violate securities laws.

68. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.

75. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities

Commission and good standing.

83. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares



could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.

92. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the

share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.

110. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had

cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then held in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that

Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares from TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.

126. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.

132. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Emmanuella Lambropoulos, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Emmanuella Lambropoulos, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you



do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when

always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.

142. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or

administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.

148. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages

sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.

154. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Emmanuella Lambropoulos, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Emmanuella Lambropoulos, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Emmanuella Lambropoulos, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.

159. It is agreed by you, the private man Emmanuella Lambropoulos, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships,



associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute

a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at;  
<https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Emmanuella Lambropoulos, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a

man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Emmanuella Lambropoulos with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: mh.gaudreau@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:37

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Mh Gaudreau** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Mh Gaudreau

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [mh.gaudreau@parl.gc.ca](mailto:mh.gaudreau@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Mh Gaudreau, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Mh Gaudreau, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial



entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal

Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:



I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or

other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and

seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities

Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.

73. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.

81. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.



91. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy

back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.

111. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul

Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and

orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Mh Gaudreau, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Mh Gaudreau, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.



138. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance

with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Mh Gaudreau, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Mh Gaudreau, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Mh Gaudreau, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Mh Gaudreau, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32

of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his

will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations,

partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”



Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Mh Gaudreau, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Mh Gaudreau, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Mh Gaudreau with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to

this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: jean-denis.garon@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:36

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Denis Garon** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Denis Garon

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [jean-denis.garon@parl.gc.ca](mailto:jean-denis.garon@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Denis Garon, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Denis Garon, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private

contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of



the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

2. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a

recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.

7. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1

S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the

person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

22. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this

provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground

of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.



39. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of

election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.

53. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik.

The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his

inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.

61. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.

68. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the

investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.

76. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase

transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.

85. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your



acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD

Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that

the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.

113. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors.

Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance

requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.

128. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the

OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Denis Garon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Denis Garon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.



139. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes,

maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Denis Garon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses,

Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Denis Garon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Denis Garon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Denis Garon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance

to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the

scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any

recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...



Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Denis Garon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Denis Garon, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Denis Garon with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: anna.gainey@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:36

cc. watchmanzekeiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanzekeiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanzekeiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Anna Gainey** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of

Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Anna Gainey

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [anna.gainey@parl.gc.ca](mailto:anna.gainey@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Anna Gainey, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Anna Gainey, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Anna Gaaney, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Anna Gaaney, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and



that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Anna Gaaney, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Anna Gaaney, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Anna Gaaney, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Anna Gaaney, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Anna Gaaney, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian

monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be



irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish

facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.



94. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid

by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.

107. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not

before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.

119. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase.

They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.

124. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.

130. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement, the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Anna Gainey, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing

that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Anna Gainey, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability,



validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.

146. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the

scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Anna Gainey, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Anna Gainey, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Anna Gainey, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute

binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Anna Gainey, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath

bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam.

R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word



'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random

House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him – sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN

Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that this document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Anna Gainey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling

with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Anna Gainey, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Anna Gainey with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping

in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: [claude.debellefeuille@parl.gc.ca](mailto:claude.debellefeuille@parl.gc.ca)  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:34

cc. [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com),  
[owlmon@protonmail.com](mailto:owlmon@protonmail.com),  
[watchman2059@yahoo.com](mailto:watchman2059@yahoo.com)

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Claude Debellefeuille** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Claude Debellefeuille

**Parliament of Canadia**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [claude.debellefeuille@parl.gc.ca](mailto:claude.debellefeuille@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

# Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Claude Debellefeuille, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Claude Debellefeuille, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially

performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II



and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.

4. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a

Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"

14. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states;

education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden from me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child under age, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

25. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or

surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.

30. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor

(Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have



received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.

41. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve

the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.

48. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled

others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five

million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.

58. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private

Placement Agreement” (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.

70. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a “Letter of Permission” to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant”, confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a “Know Your Client Form” proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.

85. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.



93. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD

Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors

(Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.

113. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to

their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client"

information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505;

and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.

128. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Claude Debellefeuille, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Claude Debellefeuille, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not



limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister

and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly

amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Claude Debellefeuille, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including

misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Claude Debellefeuille, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Claude Debellefeuille, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Claude Debellefeuille, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being

a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership,



corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m

wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y . 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Claude Debellefeuille, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Claude Debellefeuille, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Claude Debellefeuille with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

**SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**  
**FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>**  
**TO: sophie.chatel@parl.gc.ca**  
**DATE: 24/04/2025 00:33**

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Sophie Chatel** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Sophie Chatel

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [sophie.chatel@parl.gc.ca](mailto:sophie.chatel@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Sophie Chatel, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Sophie Chatel, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also



Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper

House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"

6. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada* (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond

the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of

alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of

fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and

financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.

28. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

33. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that

this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and



Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.

45. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and

remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence

evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of

agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's

consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.

74. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.

82. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.



92. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or

1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the

new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.

112. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-

Approved Privet Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW’s “New Client(s)” instructs there “Investment Advisor” Hatice Pakdil to “acquire” shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.”. , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares” with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.

122. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclose to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Sophie Chatel, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Sophie Chatel, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or



enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your

actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Sophie Chatel, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my

choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Sophie Chatel, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Sophie Chatel, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Sophie Chatel, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.

162. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal

entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.



I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

## Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Sophie Chatel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Sophie Chatel, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Sophie Chatel with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: martin.champoux@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:33

cc. watchmanzezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanzezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanzezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Martin Champoux** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate

entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Martin Champoux

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [martin.champoux@parl.gc.ca](mailto:martin.champoux@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Martin Champoux, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Martin Champoux, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or



Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon

demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions:

article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section

32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine



its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1, 2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to

establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the

documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.



93. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD

Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors

(Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.

113. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to

their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client"

information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505;

and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.

128. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Martin Champoux, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Martin Champoux, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not



limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister

and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly

amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Martin Champoux, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including

misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Martin Champoux, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Martin Champoux, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Martin Champoux, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule

for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership,



corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m

wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Martin Champoux, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Martin Champoux, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Martin Champoux with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: luc.berthold@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:31

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Luc Berthold** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Luc Berthold

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [luc.berthold@parl.gc.ca](mailto:luc.berthold@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Luc Berthold, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Luc Berthold, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also



Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper

House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"

6. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada* (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond

the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of

alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of

fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and

financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.

28. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

33. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that

this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and



Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.

45. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies

outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence

evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of

agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's

consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.

74. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.

82. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.



92. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or

1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the

new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.

112. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-

Approved Privet Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW’s “New Client(s)” instructs there “Investment Advisor” Hatice Pakdil to “acquire” shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.”. , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares” with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.

122. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclose to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Luc Berthold, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Luc Berthold, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or



enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your

actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Luc Berthold, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my

choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Luc Berthold, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Luc Berthold, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Luc Berthold, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.

162. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal

entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.



I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

## Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Luc Berthold, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Luc Berthold, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Luc Berthold with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: stephane.bergeron@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:30

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Stephane Bergeron** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate

entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Stephane Bergeron

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [stephane.bergeron@parl.gc.ca](mailto:stephane.bergeron@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Stephane Bergeron, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Stephane Bergeron, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or



Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King

James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon

demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and

comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being

a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the



meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat

currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my

standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1, 2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful

benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to

target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.

61. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate

securities laws.

68. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse

compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.

76. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse

directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".

84. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or



expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.

111. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares

after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named

to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.

133. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Stephane Bergeron, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Stephane Bergeron, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity,



and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise

willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any

contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.

149. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.

154. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Stephane Bergeron, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Stephane Bergeron, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Stephane Bergeron, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Stephane Bergeron, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.

160. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by

force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a

negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.



The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an "individual". Black's Law Dictionary also defines a person as a "human being," which they define by stating "(i.e. natural person)". So, let's first check to see if I am an "individual".

Individual – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

"individual" as follows: "As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons."

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property

of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are

aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Stephane Bergeron, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Stephane Bergeron, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of

Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Stephane Bergeron with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: rachel.bendayan@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:30

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Rachel Bendayan** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Rachel Bendayan

**Parliament of Canadia**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [rachel.bendayan@parl.gc.ca](mailto:rachel.bendayan@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Rachel Bendayan, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an

ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law does not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Rachel Bendayan, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military



receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues

as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada* (Director of Investigation and

Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of

unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law

16. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s)

to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless

damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

27. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement

32. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to

them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The



administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.

45. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and

remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence

evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of

agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's

consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.

74. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.

82. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase



the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.

92. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.

110. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had

cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then held in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that

Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares from TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.

126. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.

132. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Rachel Bendayan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Rachel Bendayan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you



do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when

always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.

142. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or

administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.

148. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages

sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.

154. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Rachel Bendayan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Rachel Bendayan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Rachel Bendayan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.

159. It is agreed by you, the private man Rachel Bendayan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships,



associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute

a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Rachel Bendayan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a

man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Rachel Bendayan, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Rachel Bendayan with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: kevin.vuong@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:27

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Kevin Vuong** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Kevin Vuong

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **kevin.vuong@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Kevin Vuong, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Kevin Vuong, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial



entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they

comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

- 35. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
- 36. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
- 37. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:



I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other,

then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and

seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities

Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.

73. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.

81. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.



91. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy

back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.

111. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul

Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and

orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Kevin Vuong, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Kevin Vuong, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.



138. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance

with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Kevin Vuong, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Kevin Vuong, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Kevin Vuong, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Kevin Vuong, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32

of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his

will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations,

partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”



Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Kevin Vuong, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Kevin Vuong, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Kevin Vuong with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to

this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: arif.virani@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:27

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Arif Virani** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Arif Virani

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [arif.virani@parl.gc.ca](mailto:arif.virani@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Arif Virani, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Arif Virani, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private

contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of



the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

2. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.

7. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian

monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be



irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish

facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.



94. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid

by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.

107. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they

were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.

124. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this

agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.

131. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Arif Virani, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the

execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Arif Virani, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and



enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that

jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

147. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice,

enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Arif Virani, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Arif Virani, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Arif Virani, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both

spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Arif Virani, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women

cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn't it odd that the word lawful is

not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human



being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas

Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and

agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Arif Virani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to

the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Arif Virani, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Arif Virani with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: karen.vecchio@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:27

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Karen Vecchio** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Karen Vecchio

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **karen.vecchio@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Karen Vecchio, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Karen Vecchio, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial

entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal



Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.



44. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or

other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer

and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario

Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

72. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).

80. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and



Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.

97. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW,

shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual

Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.

110. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Forms” also contained the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” that indicated “I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc...” and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client’s funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a “Small Business Investment Trust” (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact

forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with

all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.

133. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Karen Vecchio, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Karen Vecchio, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon

breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated



restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.

145. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.

146. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

147. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.

148. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.

149. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially

compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Karen Vecchio, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Karen Vecchio, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Karen Vecchio, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Karen Vecchio, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath

to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership,

corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.



Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m

wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed

objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Karen Vecchio, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Karen Vecchio, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Karen Vecchio with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17, 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16, Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

**SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**  
**FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>**  
**TO: charles.sousa@parl.gc.ca**  
**DATE: 24/04/2025 00:24**

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Charles Sousa** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Charles Sousa

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [charles.sousa@parl.gc.ca](mailto:charles.sousa@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Charles Sousa, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Charles Sousa, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the

functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word



“Canada” in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the “JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT”

6. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada* (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law,

and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under

international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.

28. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following

fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be



made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed

with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.

75. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good

standing.

83. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.

92. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or



1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the

new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.

112. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-

Approved Privet Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW’s “New Client(s)” instructs there “Investment Advisor” Hatice Pakdil to “acquire” shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.”. , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares” with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.

122. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclose to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Charles Sousa, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Charles Sousa, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or

enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your



actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Charles Sousa, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my

choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Charles Sousa, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Charles Sousa, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Charles Sousa, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.

162. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal

entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.



## Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Charles Sousa, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Charles Sousa, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Charles Sousa with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: francesco.sorbara@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:24

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Francesco Sorbara** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate

entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Francesco Sorbara

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [francesco.sorbara@parl.gc.ca](mailto:francesco.sorbara@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Francesco Sorbara, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Francesco Sorbara, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or

Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King



James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon

demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and

comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being

a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the

meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat



currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my

standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1, 2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful

benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to

target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.

61. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate

securities laws.

68. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse

compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.

76. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse

directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".

84. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or

expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.



98. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.

111. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “New Clients” were established. Hatice Pakdil was their “Investment Advisor” and responsible for the client’s account. The Company completed all the requirements of a “Pre-Approved Privet Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW’s “New Client(s)” instructs there “Investment Advisor” Hatice Pakdil to “acquire” shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.”. , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares” with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” contacts the Company to order shares

after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named

to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.

133. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Francesco Sorbara, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Francesco Sorbara, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity,

and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.

142. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise



willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any

contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.

149. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.

154. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Francesco Sorbara, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Francesco Sorbara, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Francesco Sorbara, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Francesco Sorbara, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.

160. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by

force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a

negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an "individual". Black's Law Dictionary also defines a person as a "human being," which they define by stating "(i.e. natural person)". So, let's first check to see if I am an "individual".

Individual – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

"individual" as follows: "As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons."

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property



of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are

aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Francesco Sorbara, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Francesco Sorbara, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of

Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Francesco Sorbara with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: sonia.sidhu@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:24

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Sonia Sidhu** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Sonia Sidhu

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [sonia.sidhu@parl.gc.ca](mailto:sonia.sidhu@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Sonia Sidhu, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical

organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law does not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Sonia Sidhu, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military

receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food,



education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research,*

Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of

unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law

16. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to

extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless

damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

27. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement

32. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to

them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The

administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.



45. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies

outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.

53. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence

evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of

agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's

consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.

74. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.

82. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.

92. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or



1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the

new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.

112. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-

Approved Privet Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW’s “New Client(s)” instructs there “Investment Advisor” Hatice Pakdil to “acquire” shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.”. , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares” with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.

122. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclose to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had erred the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Sonia Sidhu, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Sonia Sidhu, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or

enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your



actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Sonia Sidhu, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my

choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Sonia Sidhu, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Sonia Sidhu, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Sonia Sidhu, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.

162. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal

entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.



## Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Sonia Sidhu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Sonia Sidhu, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Sonia Sidhu with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: alex.ruff@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:21

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Alex Ruff** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of

Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Alex Ruff

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [alex.ruff@parl.gc.ca](mailto:alex.ruff@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Alex Ruff, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Alex Ruff, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and

that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.



8. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place

courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.

13. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...

18. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to

acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.

24. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse.

You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the

Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of

Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of

private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.



46. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1, 2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish

facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lit.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.

94. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.



100. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid

by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.

107. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they

were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.

124. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this

agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.

131. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Alex Ruff, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the

execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Alex Ruff, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and

enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that



jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

147. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice,

enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Alex Ruff, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2-5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Alex Ruff, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Alex Ruff, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both

spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Alex Ruff, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women

cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn't it odd that the word lawful is

not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not "the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation". I am not "labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." So, I cannot be a 'person' under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an "individual". Black's Law Dictionary also defines a person as a "human being," which they define by stating "(i.e. natural person)". So, let's first check to see if I am an "individual".

Individual – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

"individual" as follows: "As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons."

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human

being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."



In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas

Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, Radin’s Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and

agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that this document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Alex Ruff, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to

the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Alex Ruff, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Alex Ruff with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: anthony.rota@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:21

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Anthony Rota** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Anthony Rota

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **anthony.rota@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Anthony Rota, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Anthony Rota, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial

entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal

Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and



apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or



other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and

seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdil's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities

Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.

73. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.

81. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.

91. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy

back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.



103. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.

111. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul

Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and

orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Anthony Rota, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Anthony Rota, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance



with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Anthony Rota, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Anthony Rota, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Anthony Rota, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Anthony Rota, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32

of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his

will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations,

partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."



a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Anthony Rota, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Anthony Rota, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Anthony Rota with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to

this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: lianne.rood@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:21

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Lianne Rood** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Lianne Rood

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [lianne.rood@parl.gc.ca](mailto:lianne.rood@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Lianne Rood, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Lianne Rood, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private

contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of

the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

2. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a

recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.

7. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1



S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the

person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

22. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this

provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground

of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of

election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.



53. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik.

The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his

inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.

61. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.

68. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the

investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.

76. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase

transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.

85. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your

acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD

Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that



the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.

113. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors.

Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance

requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.

128. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the

OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Lianne Rood, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Lianne Rood, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes,



maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Lianne Rood, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses,

Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Lianne Rood, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Lianne Rood, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Lianne Rood, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance

to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the

scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any

recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men



who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Lianne Rood, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Lianne Rood, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Lianne Rood with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: dan.muys@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:18

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Dan Muys** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of

Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Dan Muys

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [dan.muys@parl.gc.ca](mailto:dan.muys@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Dan Muys, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Dan Muys, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and

that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place



courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.

13. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...

18. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to

acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.

24. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse.

You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the

Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of

Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of

private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32



54. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish

facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.

94. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid



by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.

107. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they

were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.

124. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this

agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.

131. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Dan Muys, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the

execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Dan Muys, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and

enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that

jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

147. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice,



enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Dan Muys, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Dan Muys, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Dan Muys, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both

spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Dan Muys, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women

cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn't it odd that the word lawful is

not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human

being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas



Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and

agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that this document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Dan Muys, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to

the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Dan Muys, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Dan Muys with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: mike.morrice@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:18

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Mike Morrice** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Mike Morrice

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **mike.morrice@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Mike Morrice, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Mike Morrice, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial

entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126, 176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal

Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.



15. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other,

then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contract in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and



seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdil's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities

Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.

73. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.

81. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.

91. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy

back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.



111. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul

Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and

orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Mike Morrice, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Mike Morrice, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance

with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.



155. It is agreed by you, the private man Mike Morrice, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Mike Morrice, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Mike Morrice, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Mike Morrice, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32

of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his

will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations,

partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]



Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Mike Morrice, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Mike Morrice, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Mike Morrice with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to

this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: marco.mendicino@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:18

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Marco Mendicino** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Marco Mendicino

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [marco.mendicino@parl.gc.ca](mailto:marco.mendicino@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Marco Mendicino, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Marco Mendicino, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot

support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465

and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

2. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a

recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.

7. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997]

1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and



security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the “International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights” article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was “Shanghaied” by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the “Defender of the faith” and now King Charles III “Defender of the faith”. This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

22. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this

provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits

discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of

election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.

53. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard



Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on

his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.

61. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities

laws.

68. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse

compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.

76. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".

84. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the

applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lie.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a

nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.

101. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.

102. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.

105. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.



112. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.

122. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had overseen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil

allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Marco Mendicino, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Marco Mendicino, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.

149. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by



financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Marco Mendicino, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Marco Mendicino, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Marco Mendicino, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Marco Mendicino, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has

taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly

dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or

any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because

there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.



“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God’s law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with

informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Marco Mendicino, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Marco Mendicino, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally

against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Marco Mendicino with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>

TO: ben.lobb@parl.gc.ca

DATE: 24/04/2025 00:15

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,

owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Ben Lobb** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Ben Lobb

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [ben.lobb@parl.gc.ca](mailto:ben.lobb@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Ben Lobb, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Ben Lobb, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his

constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the



prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was

accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

27. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating,

obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

33. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as

in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and

Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.

45. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies

outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence



evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of

agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's

consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.

74. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.

82. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.

92. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or

1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new



client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.

112. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-

Approved Privet Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW’s “New Client(s)” instructs there “Investment Advisor” Hatice Pakdil to “acquire” shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.”. , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares” with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.

122. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had overseen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclose to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had erred the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Ben Lobb, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Ben Lobb, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment

arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions,

omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Ben Lobb, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my



choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Ben Lobb, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Ben Lobb, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Ben Lobb, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.

162. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal

entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

## Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,



Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Ben Lobb, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de

facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Ben Lobb, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Ben Lobb with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17, 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16, Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: leslyn.lewis@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:15

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Leslyn Lewis** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of

Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Leslyn Lewis

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [leslyn.lewis@parl.gc.ca](mailto:leslyn.lewis@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Leslyn Lewis, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Leslyn Lewis, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario

and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.



17. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian

monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)



57. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish

facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.

94. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid

by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.

107. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not



before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.

119. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase.

They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.

124. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.

130. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement, the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Leslyn Lewis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing

that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Leslyn Lewis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability,

validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.

146. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the

scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Leslyn Lewis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Leslyn Lewis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Leslyn Lewis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute



binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Leslyn Lewis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath

bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam.

R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word

'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random

House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him – sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN



Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Leslyn Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling

with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Leslyn Lewis, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Leslyn Lewis with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping

in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: chris.lewis@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:15

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Chris Lewis** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Chris Lewis

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **chris.lewis@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

# Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Chris Lewis, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Chris Lewis, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to

respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.

4. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor

in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"

14. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states;



education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden from me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child under age, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my parents that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

25. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of

any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.

30. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as

it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such

laws of God.

42. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my

consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.

49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of

“Conspiracy” under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and “Fraud and Perjury” under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; “OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005”, had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil’s employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice’s actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.



58. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW

and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.

64. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw

to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.

71. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.

78. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.

88. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD

Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.

96. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share

certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.

102. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.

108. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik,



President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privat Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase

shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.

125. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will

result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.

132. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Chris Lewis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Chris Lewis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour,

non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under

principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.

148. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of

losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.

154. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Chris Lewis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2-5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Chris Lewis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.

157. It is agreed by you, the private man Chris Lewis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record,



ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.

159. It is agreed by you, the private man Chris Lewis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by

the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships,

associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms



of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement.

You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Chris Lewis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a

man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Chris Lewis, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Chris Lewis with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: arielle.kayabaga@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:12

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Arielle Kayabaga** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Arielle Kayabaga

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [arielle.kayabaga@parl.gc.ca](mailto:arielle.kayabaga@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Arielle Kayabaga, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law does not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Arielle Kayabaga, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial

entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal

Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.



20. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or

other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer

and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand



Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario

Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

72. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).

80. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and

Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.

97. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW,

shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual

Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.

110. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Forms” also contained the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” that indicated “I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc...” and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client’s funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a “Small Business Investment Trust” (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact



forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with

all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.

133. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Arielle Kayabaga, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Arielle Kayabaga, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity,

and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful

blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of

adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.

149. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.

154. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Arielle Kayabaga, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Arielle Kayabaga, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Arielle Kayabaga, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Arielle Kayabaga, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.



160. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly

dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or

any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because

there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God’s law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with



informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Arielle Kayabaga, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Arielle Kayabaga, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally

against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Arielle Kayabaga with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>

TO: majid.jowhari@parl.gc.ca

DATE: 24/04/2025 00:12

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,

owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Majid Jowhari** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Majid Jowhari

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [majid.jowhari@parl.gc.ca](mailto:majid.jowhari@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Majid Jowhari, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Majid Jowhari, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada* (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and

powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law

16. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva



Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the “Defender of the faith” and now King Charles III “Defender of the faith”. This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God’s law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

27. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating,

obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

33. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as

in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and

Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.

45. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and

remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence

evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of



agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's

consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.

74. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.

82. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase

the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.

92. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified

Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.

111. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.



116. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul

Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and

orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Majid Jowhari, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Majid Jowhari, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance

with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Majid Jowhari, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Majid Jowhari, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Majid Jowhari, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Majid Jowhari, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.



161. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section

32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his

will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations,

partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.



170. It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Majid Jowhari, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Majid Jowhari, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Majid Jowhari with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to

this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: jamil.jivani@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:11

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Jamil Jivani** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Jamil Jivani

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [jamil.jivani@parl.gc.ca](mailto:jamil.jivani@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Jamil Jivani, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Jamil Jivani, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private

contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of

the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

2. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a

recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.

7. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1

S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the

person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.



22. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this

provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground

of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of

election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.

53. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik.

The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his



inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.

61. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.

68. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the

investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.

76. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase

transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.

85. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your

acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD

Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that

the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.

113. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors.



Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance

requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.

128. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the

OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Jamil Jivani, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Jamil Jivani, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes,

maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Jamil Jivani, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses,

Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Jamil Jivani, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Jamil Jivani, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Jamil Jivani, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance



to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the

scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any

recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Jamil Jivani, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed



de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Jamil Jivani, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Jamil Jivani with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: marilyn.gladu@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:09

cc. watchmanzekeiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanzekeiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanzekeiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Marilyn Gladu** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of

Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Marilyn Gladu

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [marilyn.gladu@parl.gc.ca](mailto:marilyn.gladu@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Marilyn Gladu, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Marilyn Gladu, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario

and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.



23. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the

Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish



facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.

94. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid

by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.

107. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not

before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.



119. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase.

They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.

124. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.

130. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement, the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Marilyn Gladu, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing

that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Marilyn Gladu, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability,

validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.

146. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the

scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Marilyn Gladu, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Marilyn Gladu, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Marilyn Gladu, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute

binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Marilyn Gladu, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.
162. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath



bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam.

R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word

'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random

House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him – sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN

Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Marilyn Gladu, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling



with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Marilyn Gladu, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Marilyn Gladu with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping

in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: mark.gerretsen@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:09

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Mark Gerretsen** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Mark Gerretsen

**Parliament of Canadia**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **mark.gerretsen@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

# Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Mark Gerretsen, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Mark Gerretsen, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to

respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.

4. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor

in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"

14. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the “International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights” article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states;

education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden from me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child under age, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my parents that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.



25. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or

surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.

30. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as

it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit

such laws of God.

42. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my

consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.

49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of

“Conspiracy” under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and “Fraud and Perjury” under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; “OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005”, had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil’s employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice’s actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.

58. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times,



TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.

64. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on

Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.

71. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.

78. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age

of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.

88. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.

95. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.

101. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of

\$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.

108. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not

currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the



account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares from TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement

did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.

125. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.

131. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Mark Gerretsen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*,

[2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Mark Gerretsen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and

ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that

jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

147. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice,

enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Mark Gerretsen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Mark Gerretsen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Mark Gerretsen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both

spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Mark Gerretsen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.
162. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.



165. It is agreed by Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women

cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn't it odd that the word lawful is

not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not "the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation". I am not "labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." So, I cannot be a 'person' under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an "individual". Black's Law Dictionary also defines a person as a "human being," which they define by stating "(i.e. natural person)". So, let's first check to see if I am an "individual".

Individual – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

"individual" as follows: "As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons."

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human

being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas

Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and

agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that this document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Mark Gerretsen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to



the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Mark Gerretsen, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Mark Gerretsen with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: cheryl.gallant@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:08

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Cheryl Gallant** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Cheryl Gallant

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **cheryl.gallant@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Cheryl Gallant, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Cheryl Gallant, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial

entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal

Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.



26. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or

other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer

and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario



Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

72. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).

80. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and

Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.

97. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW,

shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual

Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.

110. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Forms” also contained the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” that indicated “I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc...” and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client’s funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a “Small Business Investment Trust” (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact

forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “New Clients” were established. Hatice Pakdil was their “Investment Advisor” and responsible for the client’s account. The Company completed all the requirements of a “Pre-Approved Privat Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW’s “New Client(s)” instructs there “Investment Advisor” Hatice Pakdil to “acquire” shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.”. , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares” with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.



120. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with

all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.

133. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Cheryl Gallant, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Cheryl Gallant, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon

breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated

restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.

149. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially

compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Cheryl Gallant, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Cheryl Gallant, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Cheryl Gallant, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Cheryl Gallant, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath

to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.



It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership,

corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m

wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed

objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Cheryl Gallant, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Cheryl Gallant, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Cheryl Gallant with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by



Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

**SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

**FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>**

**TO: julie.dzerowicz@parl.gc.ca**

**DATE: 24/04/2025 00:06**

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Julie Dzerowicz** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Julie Dzerowicz

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [julie.dzerowicz@parl.gc.ca](mailto:julie.dzerowicz@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Julie Dzerowicz, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Julie Dzerowicz, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the

functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word

“Canada” in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the “JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT”

6. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada* (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law,

and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under

international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.



28. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following

fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be

made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.



66. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed

with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.

75. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good

standing.

83. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.

92. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or

1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the

new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.

112. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-

Approved Privet Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW’s “New Client(s)” instructs there “Investment Advisor” Hatice Pakdil to “acquire” shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.”. , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares” with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.



122. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclose to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Julie Dzerowicz, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Julie Dzerowicz, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or

enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your

actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Julie Dzerowicz, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my

choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Julie Dzerowicz, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Julie Dzerowicz, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Julie Dzerowicz, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.

162. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.



It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal

entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

## Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Julie Dzerowicz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Julie Dzerowicz, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Julie Dzerowicz with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.



Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: kirsty.duncan@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:05

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Kirsty Duncan** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of

Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Kirsty Duncan

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [kirsty.duncan@parl.gc.ca](mailto:kirsty.duncan@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Kirsty Duncan, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Kirsty Duncan, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario

and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or



third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the

Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1, 2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish

facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.



69. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.

94. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid

by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.

107. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not

before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.

119. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase.



They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.

124. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.

130. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement, the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Kirsty Duncan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing

that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Kirsty Duncan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability,

validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.

146. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the

scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Kirsty Duncan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Kirsty Duncan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Kirsty Duncan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute

binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Kirsty Duncan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.
162. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath

bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam.



R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word

'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random

House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him – sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN

Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Kirsty Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling

with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Kirsty Duncan, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Kirsty Duncan with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping



in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: eric.duncan@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:05

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Eric Duncan** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Eric Duncan

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **eric.duncan@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

# Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Eric Duncan, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Eric Duncan, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to

respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.

4. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor

in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"

14. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states;

education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden from me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child under age, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

25. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of



any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.

30. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as

it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such

laws of God.

42. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my

consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.

49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of

“Conspiracy” under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and “Fraud and Perjury” under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; “OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005”, had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil’s employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice’s actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.

58. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW

and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.

64. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw



to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.

71. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.

78. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.

88. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD

Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.

96. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share

certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.

102. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.

108. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by

instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privat Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no

ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.



125. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-

performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.

132. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Eric Duncan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Eric Duncan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour,

non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under

principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.

148. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of

losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.

154. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Eric Duncan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Eric Duncan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Eric Duncan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record,

ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.

159. It is agreed by you, the private man Eric Duncan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by



the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships,

associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms

of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement.

You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Eric Duncan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a

man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Eric Duncan, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Eric Duncan with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!



SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: paul.chiang@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:03

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Paul Chiang** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Paul Chiang

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **paul.chiang@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Paul Chiang, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Paul Chiang, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial

entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they

comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *2015 R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.



31. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other,

then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contract in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and

seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdil's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities

Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.



73. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.

81. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.

91. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy

back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.

111. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul



Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and

orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Paul Chiang, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Paul Chiang, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance

with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Paul Chiang, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Paul Chiang, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Paul Chiang, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Paul Chiang, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32

of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his



will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations,

partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Paul Chiang, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Paul Chiang, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Paul Chiang with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to

this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: shaun.chen@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:02

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.



Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Shaun Chen** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Shaun Chen

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [shaun.chen@parl.gc.ca](mailto:shaun.chen@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Shaun Chen, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Shaun Chen, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private

contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of

the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

2. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a

recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.

7. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1

S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the

person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

22. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this

provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground



of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of

election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.

53. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik.

The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his

inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.

61. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.

68. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the



investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.

76. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase

transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.

85. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your

acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD

Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that

the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.

113. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors.

Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance

requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.



128. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the

OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Shaun Chen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Shaun Chen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes,

maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Shaun Chen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses,

Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Shaun Chen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Shaun Chen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Shaun Chen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance

to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the

scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any



recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Shaun Chen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Shaun Chen, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Shaun Chen with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: adam.chambers@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 24/04/2025 00:02

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Adam Chambers** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity



of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Adam Chambers

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [adam.chambers@parl.gc.ca](mailto:adam.chambers@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Adam Chambers, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Adam Chambers, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario

and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of



the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the

Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to

establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.



77. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the

documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have

been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the

client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the



Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.

129. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and

President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Adam Chambers, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Adam Chambers, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes,

maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Adam Chambers, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses,

Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Adam Chambers, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Adam Chambers, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Adam Chambers, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.
162. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath

of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the

scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any



recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Adam Chambers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any

unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Adam Chambers, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Adam Chambers with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: yvan.baker@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:59

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Yvan Baker** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of



Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Yvan Baker

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [yvan.baker@parl.gc.ca](mailto:yvan.baker@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Yvan Baker, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Yvan Baker, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and

that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of



the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian

monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish

facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.



77. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.

94. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid

by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.

107. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they

were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.

124. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this



agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.

131. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Yvan Baker, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the

execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Yvan Baker, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and

enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that

jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

147. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice,

enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Yvan Baker, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2-5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Yvan Baker, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Yvan Baker, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both

spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Yvan Baker, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women

cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.



I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn't it odd that the word lawful is

not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human

being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas

Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and

agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that this document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Yvan Baker, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to

the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Yvan Baker, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Yvan Baker with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: vance.badawey@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:59

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Vance Badawey** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Vance Badawey

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **vance.badawey@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20



If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Vance Badawey, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law does not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Vance Badawey, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial

entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal

Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private



property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or

other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer

and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario

Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

72. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).



80. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and

Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.

97. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW,

shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual

Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.

110. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Forms” also contained the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” that indicated “I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc...” and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client’s funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a “Small Business Investment Trust” (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact

forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “New Clients” were established. Hatice Pakdil was their “Investment Advisor” and responsible for the client’s account. The Company completed all the requirements of a “Pre-Approved Private Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW’s “New Client(s)” instructs there “Investment Advisor” Hatice Pakdil to “acquire” shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.”, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares” with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with

all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.



133. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Vance Badawey, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Vance Badawey, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon

breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated

restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.

149. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially

compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Vance Badawey, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Vance Badawey, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Vance Badawey, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Vance Badawey, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath

to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."



Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership,

corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m

wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed

objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Vance Badawey, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Vance Badawey, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Vance Badawey with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17, 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16, Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

**SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**  
**FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>**  
**TO: chandra.arya@parl.gc.ca**  
**DATE: 23/04/2025 23:59**

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Chandra Arya** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Chandra Arya

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [chandra.arya@parl.gc.ca](mailto:chandra.arya@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Chandra Arya, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Chandra Arya, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of



my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word

“Canada” in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the “JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT”

6. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada* (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law,

and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under

international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.

28. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following

fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.



36. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be

made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount

of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.

75. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.



83. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related

processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario

Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.

105. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)"

and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of their response keeping it confidential from the company.

113. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not perform a SWAP without first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceeded to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self-directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchased by Hatice Pakdil clients; then held in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asked online (F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at

TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance

and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the “New Client’s” eligibility, as to whether or not they were an “Accredited Investor” under the definitions in the “Ontario Securities Act” in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King’s instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the “Private Placement Subscription Form”. The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a “SWAP” into the client’s account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the “New Client’s” RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with “Ontario Securities Act”. TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who’s named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield “Sale Assistant” by TD Waterhouse’s compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclose to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and

there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.

128. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.



135. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Chandra Arya, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Chandra Arya, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes,

maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Chandra Arya, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses,

Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Chandra Arya, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Chandra Arya, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Chandra Arya, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance

to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the

scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any

recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).



Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Chandra Arya, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Chandra Arya, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Chandra Arya with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: rick.perkins@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:56

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Rick Perkins** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of

Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Rick Perkins

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [rick.perkins@parl.gc.ca](mailto:rick.perkins@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Rick Perkins, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Rick Perkins, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.



Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and

that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian



monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish

facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.



86. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.

94. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid

by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.

107. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not

before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.

119. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase.

They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.

124. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.

130. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement, the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Rick Perkins, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing



that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Rick Perkins, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability,

validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.

146. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the

scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Rick Perkins, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Rick Perkins, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Rick Perkins, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute

binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Rick Perkins, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.
162. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath

bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam.

R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]



legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word

'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random

House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him – sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN

Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Rick Perkins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling

with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Rick Perkins, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Rick Perkins with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping

in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: mike.kelloway@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:56

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Mike Kelloway** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Mike Kelloway

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **mike.kelloway@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

# Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Mike Kelloway, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Mike Kelloway, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to



respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.

4. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor

in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"

14. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states;

education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden from me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child under age, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my parents that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

25. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or

surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.

30. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as

it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.



These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit

such laws of God.

42. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my

consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.

49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of

“Conspiracy” under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and “Fraud and Perjury” under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; “OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005”, had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil’s employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice’s actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.

58. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times,

TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.

64. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on

Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.

71. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.

78. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age



of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.

88. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.

95. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.

101. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of

\$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.

108. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not

currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the

account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares from TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement

did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.

125. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.

131. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Mike Kelloway, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*,



[2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Mike Kelloway, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and

ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that

jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

147. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice,

enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Mike Kelloway, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Mike Kelloway, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Mike Kelloway, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both

spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Mike Kelloway, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women

cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn't it odd that the word lawful is



not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human

being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas

Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and

agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that this document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Mike Kelloway, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to

the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Mike Kelloway, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Mike Kelloway with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: sean.fraser@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:56

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Sean Fraser** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Sean Fraser

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [sean.fraser@parl.gc.ca](mailto:sean.fraser@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Sean Fraser, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Sean Fraser, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial



entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they

comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *2015 R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

- 35. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
- 36. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
- 37. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:



I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other,

then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contract in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and

seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdil's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities

Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.

73. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.

81. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.



91. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy

back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.

111. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and

responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Private Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.

119. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.

122. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had overseen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclose to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had erred the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Sean Fraser, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Sean Fraser, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment



arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions,

omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Sean Fraser, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my

choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Sean Fraser, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Sean Fraser, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Sean Fraser, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.

162. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal

entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.



I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

## Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Sean Fraser, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Sean Fraser, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Sean Fraser with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: churence.rogers@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:53

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Churence Rogers** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity

of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Churence Rogers

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [churence.rogers@parl.gc.ca](mailto:churence.rogers@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Churence Rogers, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Churence Rogers, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario



and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the

Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the

Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be



irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to

establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the

documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.



93. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have

been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the

client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the

Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.

129. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and

President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Churence Rogers, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Churence Rogers, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.



139. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes,

maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Churence Rogers, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses,

Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Churence Rogers, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Churence Rogers, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Churence Rogers, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.
162. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath

of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

164. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the

scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any

recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...



Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Churence Rogers, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any

unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Churence Rogers, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Churence Rogers with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: seamus.oregan@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:53

cc. watchmanzezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanzezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanzezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Seamus Oregan** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity

of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Seamus Oregan

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [seamus.oregan@parl.gc.ca](mailto:seamus.oregan@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Seamus Oregan, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Seamus Oregan, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario



and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the

Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be



irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to

establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the

documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.



93. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have

been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the

client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the

Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.

129. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and

President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Seamus Oregan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Seamus Oregan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not



required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.

142. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated

in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.

145. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Seamus Oregan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Seamus Oregan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Seamus Oregan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Seamus Oregan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more

judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not

exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by

lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...



Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Seamus Oregan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Seamus Oregon, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Seamus Oregon with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: ken.mcdonald@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:53

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Ken Mcdonald** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of

Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Ken Mcdonald

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [ken.mcdonald@parl.gc.ca](mailto:ken.mcdonald@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Ken Mcdonald, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Ken Mcdonald, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario



and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scope of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden from me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my parents that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the

Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be



irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to

establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the

documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.



93. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have

been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs their "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for their RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares from TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the

client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the

Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.

129. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and

President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Ken Mcdonald, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Ken Mcdonald, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not



required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated

in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.

145. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Ken McDonald, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Ken McDonald, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Ken McDonald, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Ken McDonald, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than

the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queen's ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not

exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by

lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...



Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Ken McDonald, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Ken Mcdonald, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Ken Mcdonald with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: richard.bragdon@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:50

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Richard Bragdon** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity

of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Richard Bragdon

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [richard.bragdon@parl.gc.ca](mailto:richard.bragdon@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Richard Bragdon, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Richard Bragdon, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario



and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the

Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be



irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to

establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the

documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.



93. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have

been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the

client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the

Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.

129. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement, the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and

President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Richard Bragdon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Richard Bragdon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.



139. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes,

maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Richard Bragdon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses,

Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Richard Bragdon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Richard Bragdon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Richard Bragdon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath

of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the

scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any

recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...



Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Richard Bragdon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any

unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Richard Bragdon, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Richard Bragdon with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: jenica.atwin@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:50

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Jenica Atwin** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of

Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Jenica Atwin

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [jenica.atwin@parl.gc.ca](mailto:jenica.atwin@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Jenica Atwin, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Jenica Atwin, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario



and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian

monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be



irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1, 2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish

facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.



94. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid

by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.

107. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not

before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.

119. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase.

They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.

124. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.

130. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement, the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Jenica Atwin, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing

that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Jenica Atwin, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability,



validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.

146. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the

scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Jenica Atwin, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Jenica Atwin, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Jenica Atwin, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute

binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Jenica Atwin, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath

bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam.

R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word



'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random

House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him – sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN

Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Jenica Atwin, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling

with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Jenica Atwin, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Jenica Atwin with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping

in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

**SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**  
**FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>**  
**TO: rene.arseneault@parl.gc.ca**  
**DATE: 23/04/2025 23:50**

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Rene Arseneault** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Rene Arseneault

**Parliament of Canadia**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **rene.arseneault@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

# Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Rene Arseneault, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Rene Arseneault, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to

respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.



4. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor

in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"

14. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states;

education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden from me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child under age, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my parents that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

25. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or

surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.

30. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as

it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit



such laws of God.

42. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my

consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.

49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of

“Conspiracy” under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and “Fraud and Perjury” under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; “OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005”, had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil’s employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice’s actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.

58. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times,

TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.

64. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on

Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.

71. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.

78. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age

of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.

88. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.



95. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.

101. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of

\$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.

108. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not

currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the

account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares from TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement

did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.

125. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.

131. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Rene Arseneault, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs

must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Rene Arseneault, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and



ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that

jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

147. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice,

enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Rene Arseneault, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2-5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Rene Arseneault, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Rene Arseneault, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both

spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Rene Arseneault, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.
162. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women

cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is

not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not "the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation". I am not "labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." So, I cannot be a 'person' under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an "individual". Black's Law Dictionary also defines a person as a "human being," which they define by stating "(i.e. natural person)". So, let's first check to see if I am an "individual".

Individual – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

"individual" as follows: "As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons."

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human



being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas

Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and

agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that this document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Rene Arseneault, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to

the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Rene Arseneault, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Rene Arseneault with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: raquel.dancho@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:47

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Raquel Dancho** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Raquel Dancho

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [raquel.dancho@parl.gc.ca](mailto:raquel.dancho@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Raquel Dancho, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law does not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Raquel Dancho, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial

entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal



Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.



44. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or

other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer

and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario

Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

72. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).

80. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and



Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.

97. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW,

shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual

Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.

110. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Forms” also contained the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” that indicated “I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc...” and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client’s funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a “Small Business Investment Trust” (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact

forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “New Clients” were established. Hatice Pakdil was their “Investment Advisor” and responsible for the client’s account. The Company completed all the requirements of a “Pre-Approved Privet Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW’s “New Client(s)” instructs there “Investment Advisor” Hatice Pakdil to “acquire” shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.”. , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares” with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with

all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.

133. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Raquel Dancho, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Raquel Dancho, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon

breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated



restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.

149. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially

compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Raquel Dancho, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Raquel Dancho, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Raquel Dancho, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Raquel Dancho, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath

to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership,

corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.



Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m

wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed

objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Raquel Dancho, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Raquel Dancho, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Raquel Dancho with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17, 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16, Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: leila.dance@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:47

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Leila Dance** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Leila Dance

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [leila.dance@parl.gc.ca](mailto:leila.dance@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Leila Dance, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Leila Dance, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of

my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word



“Canada” in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the “JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT”

6. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada* (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law,

and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under

international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.

28. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following

fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be



made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount

of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.

75. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.

83. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related

processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario



Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.

105. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and

“Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.

113. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Forms” also contained the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” that indicated “I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc...” and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client’s funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a “Small Business Investment Trust” (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “New Clients” were established. Hatice Pakdil was their “Investment Advisor” and responsible for the client’s account. The Company completed all the requirements of a “Pre-Approved Privet Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank).TD

had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in

accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.

128. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC

Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Leila Dance, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Leila Dance, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.



144. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the

above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Leila Dance, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses,

Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Leila Dance, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Leila Dance, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Leila Dance, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.
162. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance

to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

164. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the

scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any

recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being



Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Leila Dance, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de

facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Leila Dance, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Leila Dance with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: ben.carr@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:47

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Ben Carr** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of

Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Ben Carr

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **ben.carr@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Ben Carr, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Ben Carr, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and

that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.



8. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place

courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.

13. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...

18. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to

acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.

24. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse.

You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

- 38. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
- 39. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.



47. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil

had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as

detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.

58. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.

70. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares

from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.

78. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65

and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.

88. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.

95. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.



101. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00

for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.

108. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging

the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privat Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares

to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered

in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.

125. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.

131. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Ben Carr, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*,

[2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Ben Carr, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and

ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that



jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

147. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice,

enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Ben Carr, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Ben Carr, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Ben Carr, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both

spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Ben Carr, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women

cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn't it odd that the word lawful is

not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human

being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."



In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas

Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, Radin’s Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and

agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that this document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Ben Carr, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to

the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Ben Carr, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Ben Carr with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: jagmeet.singh@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:44

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Jagmeet Singh** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Jagmeet Singh

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [jagmeet.singh@parl.gc.ca](mailto:jagmeet.singh@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Jagmeet Singh, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Jagmeet Singh, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial

entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal

Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and



apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or



other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer

and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario

Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

72. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).

80. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and

Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.

97. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW,



shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual

Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.

110. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Forms” also contained the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” that indicated “I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc...” and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client’s funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a “Small Business Investment Trust” (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact

forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Private Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with

all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.

133. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Jagmeet Singh, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Jagmeet Singh, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon

breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated

restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.



149. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially

compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Jagmeet Singh, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Jagmeet Singh, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Jagmeet Singh, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Jagmeet Singh, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath

to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership,

corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m



wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed

objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Jagmeet Singh, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Jagmeet Singh, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Jagmeet Singh with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17, 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16, Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

**SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**  
**FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>**  
**TO: randeep.sarai@parl.gc.ca**  
**DATE: 23/04/2025 23:44**

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Randeep Sarai** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Randeep Sarai

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [randeep.sarai@parl.gc.ca](mailto:randeep.sarai@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Randeep Sarai, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Randeep Sarai, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the

functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word

“Canada” in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the “JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT”

6. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada* (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law,



and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under

international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.

28. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following

fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be

made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.



52. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed

with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.

75. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good

standing.

83. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.

92. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or

1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.



104. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the

new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.

112. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-

Approved Privet Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW’s “New Client(s)” instructs there “Investment Advisor” Hatice Pakdil to “acquire” shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.”. , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares” with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.

122. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclose to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Randeep Sarai, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Randeep Sarai, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or

enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your

actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.



150. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Randeep Sarai, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my

choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Randeep Sarai, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Randeep Sarai, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Randeep Sarai, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.

162. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal

entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

## Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men



who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Randeep Sarai, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Randeep Sarai, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Randeep Sarai with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: harjit.sajjan@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:44

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Harjit Sajjan** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of

Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Harjit Sajjan

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [harjit.sajjan@parl.gc.ca](mailto:harjit.sajjan@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Harjit Sajjan, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Harjit Sajjan, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and

that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)



12. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian

monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32



54. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish

facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.

94. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid



by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.

107. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not

before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.

119. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase.

They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.

124. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.

130. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement, the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Harjit Sajjan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing

that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Harjit Sajjan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability,

validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.

146. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the



scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Harjit Sajjan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Harjit Sajjan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Harjit Sajjan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute

binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Harjit Sajjan, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath

bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam.

R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word

'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random

House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’



c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him – sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN

Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Harjit Sajjan, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling

with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Harjit Sajjan, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Harjit Sajjan with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping

in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: peter.julian@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:41

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Peter Julian** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Peter Julian

**Parliament of Canadia**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **peter.julian@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

# Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Peter Julian, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Peter Julian, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to

respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.

4. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor

in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"



14. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states;

education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden from me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child under age, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

25. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of

any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.

30. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as

it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such

laws of God.

42. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my

consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.

49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of



“Conspiracy” under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and “Fraud and Perjury” under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; “OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005”, had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil’s employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice’s actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.

58. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW

and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.

64. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw

to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.

71. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.

78. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.

88. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD

Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.

96. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share

certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.

102. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.



108. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by

instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privat Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no

ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.

125. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-

performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.

132. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Julian, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Julian, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour,

non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under

principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.

148. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of



losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.

154. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Julian, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Julian, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Julian, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record,

ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.

159. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Julian, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.
162. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by

the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships,

associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].



And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [*Amon v. Moreschi*, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms

of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement.

You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Peter Julian, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a

man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Peter Julian, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Peter Julian with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: gord.johns@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:41

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Gord Johns** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Gord Johns

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [gord.johns@parl.gc.ca](mailto:gord.johns@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Gord Johns, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Gord Johns, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial

entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they

comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.



15. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *2015 R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

- 35. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
- 36. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
- 37. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other,

then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contract in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and



seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdil's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities

Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.

73. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.

81. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.

91. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy

back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.



111. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and

responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.

119. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.

122. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had overseen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclose to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Gord Johns, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Gord Johns, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment

arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.

142. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions,

omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Gord Johns, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my



choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Gord Johns, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Gord Johns, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Gord Johns, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.

162. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal

entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

## Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,



Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Gord Johns, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de

facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Gord Johns, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Gord Johns with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: ken.hardie@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:40

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Ken Hardie** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of

Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Ken Hardie

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [ken.hardie@parl.gc.ca](mailto:ken.hardie@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Ken Hardie, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Ken Hardie, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and

that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.



17. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian

monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)



57. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish

facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.

94. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid

by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.

107. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.



114. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they

were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.

124. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this

agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.

131. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Ken Hardie, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the

execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Ken Hardie, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and

enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that

jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

147. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice,

enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Ken Hardie, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Ken Hardie, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Ken Hardie, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both



spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Ken Hardie, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women

cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn't it odd that the word lawful is

not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human

being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas

Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and



agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that this document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Ken Hardie, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to

the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Ken Hardie, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Ken Hardie with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: laurel.collins@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:38

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Laurel Collins** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Laurel Collins

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [laurel.collins@parl.gc.ca](mailto:laurel.collins@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Laurel Collins, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Laurel Collins, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial

entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal

Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.



20. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or

other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and

seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand



Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities

Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.

73. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.

81. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.

91. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy

back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.

111. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.



116. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul

Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and

orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Laurel Collins, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Laurel Collins, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance

with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Laurel Collins, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Laurel Collins, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Laurel Collins, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Laurel Collins, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.



161. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section

32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his

will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations,

partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.



170. It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Laurel Collins, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Laurel Collins, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Laurel Collins with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to

this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: frank.caputo@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:37

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Frank Caputo** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Frank Caputo

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [frank.caputo@parl.gc.ca](mailto:frank.caputo@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Frank Caputo, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Frank Caputo, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private

contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of

the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

2. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a

recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.

7. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1

S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the

person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.



22. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this

provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground

of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of

election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.

53. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Provence of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik.

The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his



inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.

61. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.

68. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the

investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.

76. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase

transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.

85. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your

acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD

Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that

the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.

113. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors.



Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance

requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.

128. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the

OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Frank Caputo, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Frank Caputo, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes,

maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Frank Caputo, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses,

Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Frank Caputo, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Frank Caputo, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Frank Caputo, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance



to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the

scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any

recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Frank Caputo, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed



de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Frank Caputo, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Frank Caputo with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: richard.cannings@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:37

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Richard Cannings** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate

entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Richard Cannings

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [richard.cannings@parl.gc.ca](mailto:richard.cannings@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Richard Cannings, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Richard Cannings, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or

Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.



23. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the

Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the

Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to



establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the

documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD

Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors

(Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of their response keeping it confidential from the company.

113. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to



their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client"

information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505;

and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.

128. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Richard Cannings, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Richard Cannings, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not

limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister

and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly

amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Richard Cannings, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including

misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Richard Cannings, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Richard Cannings, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Richard Cannings, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.



161. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule

for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership,

corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m

wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y . 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).



169. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Richard Cannings, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Richard Cannings, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Richard Cannings with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

**SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**  
**FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>**  
**TO: arnold.viersen@parl.gc.ca**  
**DATE: 23/04/2025 23:34**

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Arnold Viersen** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Arnold Viersen

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [arnold.viersen@parl.gc.ca](mailto:arnold.viersen@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Arnold Viersen, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Arnold Viersen, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper

House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"

6. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada* (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond

the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of

alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of



fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and

financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.

28. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

33. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that

this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and

Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.

45. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and

remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence

evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of



agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's

consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.

74. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.

82. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase

the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.

92. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified

Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.

111. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.



116. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul

Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and

orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Arnold Viersen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Arnold Viersen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance

with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Arnold Viersen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Arnold Viersen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Arnold Viersen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Arnold Viersen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.



161. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section

32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his

will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations,

partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.



170. It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Arnold Viersen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Arnold Viersen, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Arnold Viersen with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to

this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: tim.uppal@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:34

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Tim Uppal** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Tim Uppal

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [tim.uppal@parl.gc.ca](mailto:tim.uppal@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Tim Uppal, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Tim Uppal, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private

contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of

the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

2. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.

7. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.



23. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian

monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1, 2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish



facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.

94. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid

by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.

107. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they



were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.

124. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this

agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.

131. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Tim Uppal, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the

execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Tim Uppal, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and

enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that

jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

147. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice,

enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Tim Uppal, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2-5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Tim Uppal, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Tim Uppal, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both

spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Tim Uppal, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.



165. It is agreed by Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women

cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is

not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not "the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation". I am not "labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." So, I cannot be a 'person' under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an "individual". Black's Law Dictionary also defines a person as a "human being," which they define by stating "(i.e. natural person)". So, let's first check to see if I am an "individual".

Individual – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

"individual" as follows: "As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons."

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human

being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas

Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and

agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that this document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Tim Uppal, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to



the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Tim Uppal, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Tim Uppal with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: rachael.thomas@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:34

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Rachael Thomas** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Rachael Thomas

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [rachael.thomas@parl.gc.ca](mailto:rachael.thomas@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Rachael Thomas, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law does not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Rachael Thomas, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial

entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal

Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.



26. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or

other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer

and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario



Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

72. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).

80. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and

Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.

97. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW,

shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual

Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.

110. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Forms” also contained the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” that indicated “I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc...” and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client’s funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a “Small Business Investment Trust” (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact

forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Private Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.



120. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with

all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.

133. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Rachael Thomas, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Rachael Thomas, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity,

and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful

blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of

adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.

149. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.

154. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Rachael Thomas, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2-5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Rachael Thomas, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Rachael Thomas, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Rachael Thomas, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.

160. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.



It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly

dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or

any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because

there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God’s law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with

informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Rachael Thomas, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Rachael Thomas, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally



against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Rachael Thomas with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: shuvaloy.majumdar@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:31

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,

owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Shuvaloy Majumdar** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Shuvaloy Majumdar

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [shuvaloy.majumdar@parl.gc.ca](mailto:shuvaloy.majumdar@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Shuvaloy Majumdar, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Shuvaloy Majumdar, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil

rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law

16. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights,

Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the “Defender of the faith” and now King Charles III “Defender of the faith”. This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God’s law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.



27. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement

32. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding

provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency

effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights,

along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.

45. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this

case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the

proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard



trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man.  
Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form

the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.

73. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).

80. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing

of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.

96. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.

102. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then

notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.

109. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by

instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then held in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Private Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asked online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructed their "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for their RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability



to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that

requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.

125. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the

lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.

131. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Shuvaloy Majumdar, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest

performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Shuvaloy Majumdar, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your

obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any

matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

147. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to

restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Shuvaloy Majumdar, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Shuvaloy Majumdar, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Shuvaloy Majumdar, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly

correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Shuvaloy Majumdar, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission



has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used

by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him – sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of

Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Shuvaloy Majumdar, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling



with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Shuvaloy Majumdar with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping

in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: dane.lloyd@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:31

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Dane Lloyd** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Dane Lloyd

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **dane.lloyd@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

# Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Dane Lloyd, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Dane Lloyd, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to

respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.

4. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor

in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"

14. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states;

education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden from me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child under age, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my parents that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.



25. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of

any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.

30. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as

it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such

laws of God.

42. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my

consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.

49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of

“Conspiracy” under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and “Fraud and Perjury” under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; “OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005”, had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil’s employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice’s actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.

58. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW



and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.

64. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw

to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.

71. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.

78. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.

88. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD

Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.

96. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share

certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.

102. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.

108. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik,

President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privat Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase



shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.

125. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will

result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.

132. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Dane Lloyd, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Dane Lloyd, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-

performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under

principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.

148. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses

every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.

154. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Dane Lloyd, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2-5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Dane Lloyd, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Dane Lloyd, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record,

ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.

159. It is agreed by you, the private man Dane Lloyd, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.



166. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by

the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster's Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn't it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not "the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation". I am not "labour organizations, partnerships,

associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms

of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement.

You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Dane Lloyd, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a



man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Dane Lloyd, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Dane Lloyd with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: ron.liepert@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:31

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Ron Liepert** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Ron Liepert

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **ron.liepert@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Ron Liepert, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Ron Liepert, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial

entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they

comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *2015 R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.



26. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

- 35. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
- 36. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
- 37. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other,

then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contract in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and

seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities



Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.

73. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.

81. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.

91. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy

back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.

111. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and

responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.

119. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.



122. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had overseen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclose to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had erred the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Ron Liepert, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Ron Liepert, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment

arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions,

omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Ron Liepert, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my

choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Ron Liepert, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Ron Liepert, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Ron Liepert, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.

162. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.



It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal

entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

## Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Ron Liepert, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de

facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Ron Liepert, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Ron Liepert with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.



Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: earl.dreeshen@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:28

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Earl Dreeshen** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of

Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Earl Dreeshen

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [earl.dreeshen@parl.gc.ca](mailto:earl.dreeshen@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Earl Dreeshen, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Earl Dreeshen, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario

and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or



third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the

Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1, 2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish

facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.



69. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.

94. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid

by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.

107. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not

before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.

119. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase.



They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.

124. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.

130. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement, the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Earl Dreeshen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing

that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Earl Dreeshen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability,

validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.

146. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the

scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Earl Dreeshen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Earl Dreeshen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Earl Dreeshen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute

binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Earl Dreeshen, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.
162. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath

bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam.



R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word

'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random

House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him – sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN

Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Earl Dreeshen, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling

with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Earl Dreeshen, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Earl Dreeshen with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping



in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: blake.desjarlais@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:28

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Blake Desjarlais** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Blake Desjarlais

**Parliament of Canadia**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **blake.desjarlais@parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

# Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Blake Desjarlais, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Blake Desjarlais, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to

respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.

4. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor

in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"

14. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the “International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights” article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states;

education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden from me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child under age, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my parents that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

25. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or



surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.

30. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as

it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit

such laws of God.

42. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my

consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.

49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of

“Conspiracy” under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and “Fraud and Perjury” under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; “OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005”, had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil’s employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice’s actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.

58. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times,

TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.

64. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on



Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.

71. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.

78. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age

of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.

88. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.

95. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.

101. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of

\$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.

108. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not

currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the

account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement



did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.

125. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.

131. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Blake Desjarlais, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*,

[2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Blake Desjarlais, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and

ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that

jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

147. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice,

enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.

154. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Blake Desjarlais, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2-5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Blake Desjarlais, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.

157. It is agreed by you, the private man Blake Desjarlais, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both

spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Blake Desjarlais, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women



cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is

not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not "the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation". I am not "labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." So, I cannot be a 'person' under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an "individual". Black's Law Dictionary also defines a person as a "human being," which they define by stating "(i.e. natural person)". So, let's first check to see if I am an "individual".

Individual – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

"individual" as follows: "As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons."

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human

being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas

Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled “Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation,” dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and

agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that this document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Blake Desjarlais, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to

the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Blake Desjarlais, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Blake Desjarlais with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!



SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: michael.cooper@parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:27

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Michael Cooper** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Michael Cooper

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [michael.cooper@parl.gc.ca](mailto:michael.cooper@parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Michael Cooper, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law does not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Michael Cooper, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial

entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal

Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and

apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.



31. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or

other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer

and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario

Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.



72. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).

80. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and

Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.

97. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW,

shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual

Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.

110. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Forms” also contained the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” that indicated “I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc...” and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client’s funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a “Small Business Investment Trust” (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact

forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “New Clients” were established. Hatice Pakdil was their “Investment Advisor” and responsible for the client’s account. The Company completed all the requirements of a “Pre-Approved Private Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW’s “New Client(s)” instructs there “Investment Advisor” Hatice Pakdil to “acquire” shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.”, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares” with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with



all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.

133. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Michael Cooper, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Michael Cooper, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon

breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated

restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.

149. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially

compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Michael Cooper, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Michael Cooper, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Michael Cooper, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Michael Cooper, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath

to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.



Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership,

corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m

wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed

objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Michael Cooper, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Michael Cooper, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Michael Cooper with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

**SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**  
**FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>**  
**TO: judy.white@sen.parl.gc.ca**  
**DATE: 23/04/2025 23:25**

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.



c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Judy White** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Judy White

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [judy.white@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:judy.white@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Judy White, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Judy White, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of

my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word

“Canada” in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the “JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT”

6. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada* (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law,

and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under

international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.

28. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following



fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be

made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount



of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.

75. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.

83. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related

processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario

Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.

105. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and

“Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.

113. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Forms” also contained the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” that indicated “I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc...” and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client’s funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a “Small Business Investment Trust” (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “New Clients” were established. Hatice Pakdil was their “Investment Advisor” and responsible for the client’s account. The Company completed all the requirements of a “Pre-Approved Privet Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank).TD

had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in

accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.



128. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC

Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Judy White, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Judy White, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the

above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Judy White, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses,

Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Judy White, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Judy White, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Judy White, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance

to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the

scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any



recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Judy White, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de

facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Judy White, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Judy White with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)  
FROM: Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>  
TO: sonug123344@gmail.com  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:25  
ATTACHMENTS (20250423-232506-0003856 ): [message.delivery-status](#) ,  
[message.rfc822.eml](#)



### Message blocked

Your message to **kristopher.wells@sen.parl.gc.ca** has been blocked. See technical details below for more information.

The response from the remote server was:



550 #5.7.1 Your access to submit messages to this e-mail system has been rejected.

**SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**  
**FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>**  
**TO: kristopher.wells@sen.parl.gc.ca**  
**DATE: 23/04/2025 23:25**

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Kristopher Wells** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Kristopher Wells

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **kristopher.wells@sen.parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Kristopher Wells, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Kristopher Wells, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN

Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can

authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law,

courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by

omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in

law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest



performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and

informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.

43. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or

woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14

50. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005",

had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.

59. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.

64. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property



owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

72. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services

for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).

80. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.

89. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling

mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.

96. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the

client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.

102. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager

and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.

109. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Private Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of their response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Private Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Private Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not perform a SWAP without first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceeded to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privat Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with

no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares from TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.



126. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach

of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.

133. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Kristopher Wells, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Kristopher Wells, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all

procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate

the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.

142. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.

148. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adherence, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not

limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.

154. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Kristopher Wells, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Kristopher Wells, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Kristopher Wells, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Kristopher Wells, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit

admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.

160. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the

ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queen's ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1782 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a



negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an "individual". Black's Law Dictionary also defines a person as a "human being," which they define by stating "(i.e. natural person)". So, let's first check to see if I am an "individual".

Individual – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

"individual" as follows: "As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons."

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property

of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained

contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Kristopher Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Kristopher Wells, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate

fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Kristopher Wells with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: davidwells@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:24



cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **David Wells** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: David Wells

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [davidwells@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:davidwells@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, David Wells, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, David Wells, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and

powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law

16. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva

Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the “Defender of the faith” and now King Charles III “Defender of the faith”. This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God’s law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

27. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating,



obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

33. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as

in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and

Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.

45. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies

outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.

53. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence

evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of

agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's

consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.



74. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.

82. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.

92. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or

1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new

client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.

112. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-

Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.

122. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had overseen each of the transactions.



127. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclose to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man David Wells, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man David Wells, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment

arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions,

omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man David Wells, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my

choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man David Wells, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man David Wells, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man David Wells, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.

162. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.



By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal

entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

## Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by David Wells, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de

facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, David Wells, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, David Wells with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: paulette.senior@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:22

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Paulette Senior** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity



of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Paulette Senior

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [paulette.senior@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:paulette.senior@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Paulette Senior, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Paulette Senior, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario

and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of



the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the

Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to

establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.



77. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the

documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have

been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the

client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the



Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.

129. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and

President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Paulette Senior, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Paulette Senior, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not

required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated

in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.

145. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Paulette Senior, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Paulette Senior, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Paulette Senior, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Paulette Senior, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than

the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not



exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by

lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Paulette Senior, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Paulette Senior, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Paulette Senior with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: judith.seidman@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:22

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Judith Seidman** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity



of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Judith Seidman

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [judith.seidman@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:judith.seidman@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Judith Seidman, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Judith Seidman, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario

and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or

third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of



the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the

Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to

establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.



77. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the

documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have

been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the

client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the



Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.

129. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and

President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Judith Seidman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Judith Seidman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not

required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated

in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.

145. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Judith Seidman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Judith Seidman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Judith Seidman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Judith Seidman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more

judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not



exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by

lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Judith Seidman, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Judith Seidman, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Judith Seidman with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: raymonde.saint-germain@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 23:22

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchman2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Raymonde Germain** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate



entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Raymonde Germain

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [raymonde.saint-germain@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:raymonde.saint-germain@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Raymonde Germain, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Raymonde Germain, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or

Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King

James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon

demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and



comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being

a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the

meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat

currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my

standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1, 2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful

benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to

target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.

61. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate

securities laws.

68. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse



compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.

76. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse

directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".

84. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or

expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.

111. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “New Clients” were established. Hatice Pakdil was their “Investment Advisor” and responsible for the client’s account. The Company completed all the requirements of a “Pre-Approved Privet Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW’s “New Client(s)” instructs there “Investment Advisor” Hatice Pakdil to “acquire” shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.”. , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares” with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” contacts the Company to order shares

after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named



to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.

133. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Raymonde Germain, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Raymonde Germain, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity,

and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise

willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any

contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.

149. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.

154. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Raymonde Germain, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Raymonde Germain, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Raymonde Germain, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Raymonde Germain, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.

160. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by

force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a



negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an "individual". Black's Law Dictionary also defines a person as a "human being," which they define by stating "(i.e. natural person)". So, let's first check to see if I am an "individual".

Individual – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

"individual" as follows: "As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons."

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property

of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are

aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Raymonde Germain, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Raymonde Germain, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of

Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Raymonde Germain with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: iris.petten@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 22:52



cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Iris Petten** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Iris Petten

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [iris.petten@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:iris.petten@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Iris Petten, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical

organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law does not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Iris Petten, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military

receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food,

education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada* (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the

operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law

16. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva

Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the “Defender of the faith” and now King Charles III “Defender of the faith”. This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God’s law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

27. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating,



obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

33. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as

in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and

Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.

45. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies

outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1, 2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence

evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of

agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's

consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.



74. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.

82. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.

92. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or

1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new

client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.

112. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-

Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.

122. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had overseen each of the transactions.



127. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Iris Petten, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Iris Petten, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment

arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions,

omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Iris Petten, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my

choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Iris Petten, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Iris Petten, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Iris Petten, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.

162. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.



By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal

entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

## Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Iris Petten, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de

facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Iris Petten, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Iris Petten with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: chantal.petitclerc@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 22:52

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Chantal Petitclerc** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate



entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Chantal Petitclerc

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [chantal.petitclerc@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:chantal.petitclerc@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Chantal Petitclerc, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Chantal Petitclerc, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or

Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon

demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions:



article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section

32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine

its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to

establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.

69. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.



77. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the

documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD

Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors

(Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of their response keeping it confidential from the company.

113. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not perform a SWAP without first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceeded to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self-directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchased by Hatice Pakdil clients; then held in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not an adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asked online (F) to charge the transaction fee to

their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client"

information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505;



and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.

128. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Chantal Petitclerc, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Chantal Petitclerc, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not

limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister

and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly

amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Chantal Petitclerc, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including

misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Chantal Petitclerc, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Chantal Petitclerc, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Chantal Petitclerc, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule

for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.



TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership,

corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m

wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y . 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Chantal Petitclerc, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Chantal Petitclerc, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Chantal Petitclerc with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

**SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**  
**FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>**  
**TO: rebecca.patterson@sen.parl.gc.ca**  
**DATE: 23/04/2025 22:52**

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com



From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Rebecca Patterson** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Rebecca Patterson

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [rebecca.patterson@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:rebecca.patterson@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Rebecca Patterson, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Rebecca Patterson, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil

rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law

16. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights,

Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the “Defender of the faith” and now King Charles III “Defender of the faith”. This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God’s law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

27. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement



32. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding

provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency

effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights,

along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.

45. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this

case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the

proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard

trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man.  
Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form



the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.

73. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).

80. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing

of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.

96. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.

102. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then

notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.

109. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by

instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then held in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Private Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asked online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs their "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for their RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability

to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that



requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.

125. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the

lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.

131. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Rebecca Patterson, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest

performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Rebecca Patterson, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your

obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any

matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

147. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to

restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Rebecca Patterson, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2-5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Rebecca Patterson, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Rebecca Patterson, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly

correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Rebecca Patterson, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission

has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.



But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personae: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used

by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him – sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of

Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Rebecca Patterson, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling

with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Rebecca Patterson, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Rebecca Patterson with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping



in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: marilou.mcphedran@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 22:52

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Marilou Mcphedran** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Marilou Mcphedran

**Parliament of Canadia**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **marilou.mcphedran@sen.parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

# Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Marilou Mcphedran, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Marilou Mcphedran, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to

respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.

4. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for

example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"

14. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states;

education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden from me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child under age, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my parents that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

25. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or



surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.

30. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor

(Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have

received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.

41. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve

the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.

48. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled

others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Provence of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five

million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.

58. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private



Placement Agreement” (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.

70. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a “Letter of Permission” to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant”, confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a “Know Your Client Form” proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.

85. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD

Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors

(Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.

113. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to

their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client"



information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505;

and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.

128. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Marilou Mcphedran, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Marilou Mcphedran, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not

limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister

and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly

amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Marilou Mcphedran, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including

misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Marilou Mcphedran, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Marilou Mcphedran, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Marilou Mcphedran, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being



a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership,

corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m

wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y . 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Marilou Mcphedran, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Marilou Mcphedran, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.



It is agreed by you, Marilou Mcphedran with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: john.mcnair@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 22:52

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **John McNair** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: John McNair

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [john.mcnair@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:john.mcnair@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, John McNair, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, John Mcnair, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper

House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"

6. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada* (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond

the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of

alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of

fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and



financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.

28. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

33. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that

this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and

Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.

45. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies

outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence

evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of

agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's



consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.

74. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.

82. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.

92. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or

1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, John Mcnair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, John Mcnair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, John Mcnair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, John Mcnair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, John Mcnair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, John Mcnair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the

new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.

112. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-

Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.



122. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclose to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, John Mcnair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, John Mcnair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man John Mcnair, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man John Mcnair, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, John Mcnair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or

enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.

142. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your

actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man John McNair, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my

choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man John McNair, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man John McNair, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man John McNair, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.

162. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.



It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal

entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

## Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by John McNair, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, John McNair, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, John McNair with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.



Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: maryjane.mccallum@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 22:52

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Maryjane Mccallum** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate

entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Maryjane Mccallum

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [maryjane.mccallum@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:maryjane.mccallum@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Maryjane Mccallum, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Maryjane Mccallum, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or

Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King

James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon



demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case Roncarelli v. Duplessis (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and

comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being

a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the

meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat

currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my

standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful

benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to

target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.

61. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate



securities laws.

68. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse

compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.

76. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse

directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".

84. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or

expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.

111. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares



after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named

to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.

133. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Maryjane Mccallum, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Maryjane Mccallum, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity,

and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise

willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any

contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.

149. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.

154. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Maryjane Mccallum, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2-5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Maryjane Mccallum, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Maryjane Mccallum, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Maryjane Mccallum, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.

160. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by



force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a

negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an "individual". Black's Law Dictionary also defines a person as a "human being," which they define by stating "(i.e. natural person)". So, let's first check to see if I am an "individual".

Individual – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

"individual" as follows: "As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons."

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property

of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him – sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are

aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Maryjane Mccallum, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Maryjane Mccallum, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of



Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Maryjane Mccallum with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: marty.klyne@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 22:51

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Marty Klyne** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Marty Klyne

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [marty.klyne@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:marty.klyne@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Marty Klyne, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical

organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law does not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.  
Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Marty Klyne, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military

receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food,

education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research,*

Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of

unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law

16. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to

extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless



damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

27. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement

32. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to

them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The

administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.

45. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies

outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.

53. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence

evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of

agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's



consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.

74. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.

82. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.

92. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or

1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the

new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.

112. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-

Approved Privet Placement”. Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD’s New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule “A” Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW’s “New Client(s)” instructs there “Investment Advisor” Hatice Pakdil to “acquire” shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.” Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the “Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)” told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.”. , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the “Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares” with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.



122. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclose to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Marty Klyne, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Marty Klyne, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or

enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your

actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Marty Klyne, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my

choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Marty Klyne, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Marty Klyne, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Marty Klyne, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.

162. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.



It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal

entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

## Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Marty Klyne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Marty Klyne, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Marty Klyne with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.



Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: stanley.kutcher@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 22:51

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Stanley Kutcher** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity

of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Stanley Kutcher

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [stanley.kutcher@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:stanley.kutcher@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Stanley Kutcher, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Stanley Kutcher, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario

and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or



third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the

Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to

establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.



69. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the

documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have

been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the



client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the

Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.

129. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and

President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Stanley Kutcher, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Stanley Kutcher, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not

required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.

142. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated

in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.

145. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Stanley Kutcher, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Stanley Kutcher, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Stanley Kutcher, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Stanley Kutcher, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than

the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.



PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not

exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by

lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Stanley Kutcher, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Stanley Kutcher, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Stanley Kutcher with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.



Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: joan.kingston@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 22:51

cc. watchmanzekeiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanzekeiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanzekeiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Joan Kingston** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of

Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Joan Kingston

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [joan.kingston@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:joan.kingston@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Joan Kingston, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Joan Kingston, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario

and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or



third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the

Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to

establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.



69. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the

documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have

been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the



client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the

Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.

129. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and

President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Joan Kingston, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Joan Kingston, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not

required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated

in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.

145. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Joan Kingston, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Joan Kingston, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Joan Kingston, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Joan Kingston, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than

the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.



PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not

exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by

lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Joan Kingston, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Joan Kingston, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Joan Kingston with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.



Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: raymonde.gagne@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 22:50

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Raymonde Gagne** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate

entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Raymonde Gagne

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [raymonde.gagne@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:raymonde.gagne@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Raymonde Gagne, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Raymonde Gagne, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or

Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon



demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions:

article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section

32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine

its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to

establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.



69. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the

documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD

Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors

(Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.

113. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.

117. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to

their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client"



information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505;

and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.

128. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Raymonde Gagne, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Raymonde Gagne, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not

limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister

and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly

amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Raymonde Gagne, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including

misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Raymonde Gagne, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Raymonde Gagne, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Raymonde Gagne, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule



for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership,

corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m

wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y . 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Raymonde Gagne, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Raymonde Gagne, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.



It is agreed by you, Raymonde Gagne with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: daryl.fridhandler@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 22:50

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Daryl Fridhandler** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Daryl Fridhandler

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [daryl.fridhandler@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:daryl.fridhandler@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Daryl Fridhandler, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Daryl Fridhandler, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to

the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"

6. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada* (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond

the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of

alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of

fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and



financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.

28. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

33. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that

this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and

Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.

45. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and

remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence

evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of

agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's



consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.

74. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.

82. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase

the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.

92. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified

Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.

111. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.



121. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul

Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and

orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Daryl Fridhandler, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Daryl Fridhandler, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance

with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Daryl Fridhandler, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Daryl Fridhandler, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God’s justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Daryl Fridhandler, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Daryl Fridhandler, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section



32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his

will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations,

partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Daryl Fridhandler, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Daryl Fridhandler, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Daryl Fridhandler with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to



this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

**SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**  
**FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>**  
**TO: brian.francis@sen.parl.gc.ca**  
**DATE: 23/04/2025 22:50**

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Brian Francis** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Brian Francis

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [brian.francis@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:brian.francis@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Brian Francis, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Brian Francis, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private

contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in *Roncarelly v Duplessis*

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. *Amselem 2004 SCR*.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at *Corinthians 7:20:26*, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, *John 15:16* ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under *John 15:16* and a watchman as per *Ezekiel 33:6-9*, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my *Cestui Que Trust*, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of

the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.

2. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a

recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.

7. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1

S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the

person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the “International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights” article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was “Shanghaied” by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the “Defender of the faith” and now King Charles III “Defender of the faith”. This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

22. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this



provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground

of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of

election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.

53. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik.

The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his

inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.

61. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.



68. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the

investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.

76. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase

transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.

85. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your

acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD

Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that

the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.

113. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors.

Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance



requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.

128. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the

OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Brian Francis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Brian Francis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.

139. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.

144. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes,

maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Brian Francis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses,

Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Brian Francis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Brian Francis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Brian Francis, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance

to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the



scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any

recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Brian Francis, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Brian Francis, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Brian Francis with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.



Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: rodger.cuzner@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 22:49

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Rodger Cuzner** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity

of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Rodger Cuzner

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [rodger.cuzner@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:rodger.cuzner@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Rodger Cuzner, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Rodger Cuzner, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario

and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or



third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the

Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to

establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.



69. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the

documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.

93. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

99. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.

106. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have

been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.

118. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the



client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.

123. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the

Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.

129. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and

President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.

136. It is agreed by you, the private man Rodger Cuzner, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Rodger Cuzner, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not

required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.

140. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated

in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.

145. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.

151. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Rodger Cuzner, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7

156. It is agreed by you, the private man Rodger Cuzner, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Rodger Cuzner, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Rodger Cuzner, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than

the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

163. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.



PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not

exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by

lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineva was full of men

who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he’s dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary’s dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more,

Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Rodger Cuzner, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed

de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Rodger Cuzner, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Rodger Cuzner with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.



Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: peter.boehm@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 22:49

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Peter Boehm** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of

Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Peter Boehm

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [peter.boehm@sen.parl.gc.ca](mailto:peter.boehm@sen.parl.gc.ca)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Peter Boehm, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**  
**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Peter Boehm, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario

and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.

3. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James

Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

8. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission)* While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)

12. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631 (CanLII) The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.

17. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my pears that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.

23. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or



third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.

29. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of

the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.

34. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
36. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
37. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian

monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word “do” are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word “do” in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that “Canada’s” geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be

irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.

46. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32

54. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1, 2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)

57. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish

facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.

62. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.



69. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.

77. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.

86. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.

94. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.

100. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid

by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.

107. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the “Letter of Authority” provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a “Contractual Relationship” with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the “Private Placement” were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A “SWAP” would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield “Sales Assistant” sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that “Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)” and “Investor Representation Letter” were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the “Privet Placement Subscription Form” were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. “Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription”. The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor’s accounts and not

before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.

114. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.

119. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase.



They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.

124. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.

130. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement, the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Boehm, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing

that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Boehm, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard “the President” although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability,

validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.

146. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the

scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Boehm, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Boehm, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Boehm, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute

binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Peter Boehm, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.
162. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath

bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam.



R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." Person – Oran's Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a "natural" person). 2. A corporation (an "artificial" person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word "person" includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other "being" entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime's Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are "persons" in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to "persons", which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster's 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word

'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random

House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him – sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN

Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Peter Boehm, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling

with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Peter Boehm, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Peter Boehm with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping



in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: robert.black@sen.parl.gc.ca  
DATE: 23/04/2025 22:49

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Robert Black** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Robert Black

**Parliament of Canadia**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **robert.black@sen.parl.gc.ca**

no code, non-commercial

# Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Robert Black, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Robert Black, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to

respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.

4. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.
5. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 Zingre v. The Queen et al., [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (ultra vires) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor

in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"

14. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states;

education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden from me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child under age, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my parents that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.

25. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of



any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.

30. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as

it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

- 35. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.
- 36. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8
- 37. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

39. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.

40. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.

41. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit

such laws of God.

42. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my

consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.

49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of

“Conspiracy” under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and “Fraud and Perjury” under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)

55. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; “OSC in the matter of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005”, had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.
56. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil’s employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice’s actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.

58. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW

and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.

64. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw



to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.

71. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and preforming a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.

78. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.

88. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD

Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.

96. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share

certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.

102. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.

108. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by

instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privat Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no

ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.



125. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-

performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.

132. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Robert Black, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Robert Black, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour,

non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under

principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.

148. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of

losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.

154. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Robert Black, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Robert Black, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Robert Black, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record,

ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.

159. It is agreed by you, the private man Robert Black, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.
162. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by



the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships,

associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a ‘person’, and since

‘individual’ denotes a single ‘person’ as distinguished from a group or class, I can’t be an ‘individual’ under this definition either. But I see the term ‘natural person’ used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a ‘natural’ person, since I know I am not an ‘artificial’ one.

I could not find the term ‘Natural person’ defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word ‘natural’ for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines ‘Natural’ as follows: “Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word “artificial”. The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term “legal”; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions.”

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word ‘man’ means), or by influences of civilization? I don’t think so. Am I ‘wild’, or ‘untutored’? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word ‘artificial’, it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a ‘natural’ person, under this definition of the word ‘natural’. So, the term ‘natural person’ cannot apply to me.

Black’s Law Dictionary also used the term ‘human being’, and although Black’s defined it as a ‘natural person’, maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a ‘human being’. ‘Human’ or ‘human being’ does not appear to have a ‘legal’ definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster’s Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘human’ as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] “Sprung of humans that inhabit earth.” ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words ‘Hue’ (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can’t go there, because the word would then mean ‘coloured man’!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I’m not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word ‘man’ through Webster’s because there appears to be no legal definition for ‘man’.

Man – Webster’s 1888 Dictionary defines ‘man’ as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an ‘individual’, so I cannot be considered ‘of the human race’; and since I’m not of the human race, I can’t be ‘a human being’, and I’ve also been shown that I’m not a ‘person’ either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a ‘person’, an ‘individual’, or a ‘human being’? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually ‘dead.’ This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, ‘person’, ‘individual’, ‘human being’, etc., are not in Christ. Words like “individual,” and “human being” do not even appear in Scripture! These are ‘created’ terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the ‘old man’, but not the ‘new man’ in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as “See Monster.” On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as “a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as “a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others.” From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a “Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate,” and on page 1461, Unregenerate means “not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [*Amon v. Moreschi*, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms

of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement.

You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Robert Black, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a

man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Robert Black, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Robert Black with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!



SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com  
DATE: 23/04/2025 22:48

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Watchman Zekiel** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Watchman Zekiel

**Parliament of Canada**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Watchman Zekiel, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law does not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Watchman Zekiel, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial

entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6–9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the

International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of

statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.



31. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private

property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.

44. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or

other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.

51. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer

and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand

Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario

Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5

65. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.



72. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).

80. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.

90. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and

Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.

97. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any

shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company” and “ I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. “This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.

103. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the “Privet Placement Agreement” in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW “Rate Tables”, the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or “Trade”. TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.

109. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then held in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Private Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place

yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the privet and confidential "New Client" information that was provide to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to weather or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Privet Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.



125. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in

part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.

132. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Watchman Zekiel, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.

137. It is agreed by you, the private man Watchman Zekiel, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.
138. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.

141. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planed ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty,

enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.

148. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.

153. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Watchman Zekiel, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2-5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Watchman Zekiel, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Watchman Zekiel, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.

158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Watchman Zekiel, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

165. It is agreed by Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.

166. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queens ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.



Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be had or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn't it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not "the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation". I am not "labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers." So, I cannot be a 'person' under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an "individual". Black's Law Dictionary also defines a person as a "human being," which they define by stating "(i.e. natural person)". So, let's first check to see if I am an "individual".

Individual – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

"individual" as follows: "As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons."

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not

regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute.”

In Webster’s New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as “the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid.”

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as “a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ’s divinity; a perfectionist.”

And in the Random House Webster’s College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as “any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God.”

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a ‘human being’, or a ‘humanitarian,’ they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), “I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ’s divinity and the importance of a belief in God.”

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in

what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in Augustus Toplady, Complete Works (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, Radin's Law Dictionary (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving

my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-lied.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.
170. It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.
171. It is agreed by Watchman Zekiel, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Watchman Zekiel, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Watchman Zekiel with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< minister Richard >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!



SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: owlmon@protonmail.com  
DATE: 23/04/2025 22:48

cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Owlmon Owlmon** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Owlmon Owlmon

**Parliament of Canada  
House of Commons and  
The Senate of Canada**

Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to **owlmon@protonmail.com**

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Owlmon Owlmon, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law dose not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later.**

**Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Owlmon Owlmon, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelly v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN

Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can

authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority

10. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada (Director of Investigation and Research, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.
11. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases M. (A.) v. Ryan that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. M. (A.) v. Ryan, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 R. v. Hynes, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- Christie v. British Columbia, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 R. v. Hape, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law,

courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.

15. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 R. v. Wagner, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law
16. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Victoria (City) v. Adams, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by

omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.

20. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s) to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.
21. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in

law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.

26. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
27. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest



performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.

31. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement
32. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and

informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8

35. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.

43. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.
45. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or

woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14

50. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.
52. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005",

had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.

59. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.
60. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.

64. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.
66. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property



owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

72. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts from the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.
74. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services

for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).

80. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.
82. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.

89. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.
92. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling

mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.

96. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc. after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.
98. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the

client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.

102. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.
104. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager

and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.

109. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.
111. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).

115. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.
116. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privat Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank). TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with

no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares from TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.

120. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.
121. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.



126. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.
127. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach

of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.

133. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.
134. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Owlmon Owlmon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: Slight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; Roncarelli v. Duplessis, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; Callow v. Zollinger, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Owlmon Owlmon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive

any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mined and advised him to violate

the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.

142. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.
143. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.

148. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.
150. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including

but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.

154. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
155. It is agreed by you, the private man Owlmon Owlmon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Owlmon Owlmon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Owlmon Owlmon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Owlmon Owlmon, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit

admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.

160. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
161. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter22.
162. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man , with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the

ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queen's ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freeman are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a



negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: “The term ‘person’ may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual.”

Person – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines ‘person’ as follows: “In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an "individual". Black's Law Dictionary also defines a person as a "human being," which they define by stating "(i.e. natural person)". So, let's first check to see if I am an "individual".

Individual – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

"individual" as follows: "As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons."

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property

of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

#### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. "Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God's law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect." *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351." [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, "law" suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term "human beings" only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let's look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, "human beings."

"And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?" [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The "human beings" of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term "human being" is also synonymous with the term 'natural man.'

c. "The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor." [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The 'natural man' in Scripture is synonymous with the 'natural person' as defined in man's laws.

"Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person." *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for 'bastard' or illegitimate." [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716." Max Radin, *Radin's Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>

168. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are

aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).

169. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4-6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Owlmon Owlmon, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Owlmon Owlmon, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of

Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Owlmon Owlmon with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!

SUBJECT: Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement  
FROM: "minister Richard Henry" <sonug123344@gmail.com>  
TO: mirzashah56776@gmail.com  
DATE: 23/04/2025 22:37



cc. watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com,  
owlmon@protonmail.com,  
watchmam2059@yahoo.com

From: Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, 24th April 2025, A.D. Year of our lord.

c/o minister Richard Henry

Place of Worship and Sanctuary

116-75 Dowling Ave.

Email: [watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com](mailto:watchmanezekiel33@yahoo.com)

Ontario, Canada, the geographical location no code, non commercial

To: **Mirza Shahbaz** the private man/woman acting as Parliamentarian. Parliamentarian consists of as a de facto member of parliament or senate having jurisdiction over contracted legal fiction corporate entity of Canada, created by the Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom under a commercial statute applicable upon the corporate registrants of the de facto Country of Canada.

c/o: Mirza Shahbaz

**Parliament of Canadia**  
**House of Commons and**  
**The Senate of Canada**  
Ottawa, Ontario,  
Geographic Location

Sent to [mirzashah56776@gmail.com](mailto:mirzashah56776@gmail.com)

no code, non-commercial

## **Notice for a Demand of an Ecclesiastical Accommodation and Agreement**

Provided under Christ's careful direction and the watchman's eye of Ezekiel 33

In the holy name of Christ, as where two or more agree in His holy name, for the will of our father, it shall be done. Matthew 18;20

If one falsely accuses another of a crime, the punishment due to that crime should be inflicted upon the perjured informer. Maxims of law

Hello, Mirza Shahbaz, I am Richard of the Ochnik family, a flesh blood and bone living man created by God and an officiating minister of the Church of the Ecumenical Redemption International, an ecclesiastical

organism of other flesh, blood and bone men and women as the many members of the body of Christ. Where truth is, fiction of law does not exist. Maxims of law;

**If ever the law of God and man are at variance, the former are to be obeyed in derogation of the later. Maxims. Acts 5:29**

1959 CanLII 50 (SCC) | Roncarelli v. Duplessis | CanLII, Mirza Shahbaz, by my sending you this private good faith agreement, I do attempt, by Christ's guidance, to ascertain all the facts in scriptural honour. If you have any reason or proof to suggest or provide to me, God's minister officially performing the functions of my calling, that the following statements of the facts are not true, you are being offered the opportunity to refute these offered facts so as to clear yourself of any assumptive contractual awareness of the truth of such facts. Also be aware, this communication of agreement cannot be lawfully inquired of at public or government expense as it is a provable private matter and government funding cannot support private contracts. All legal bills must and shall be paid for out of your private pocket no different than Maurice Duplessis the former Premier and Attorney General of Quebec in Roncarelli v Duplessis

This is Demand for recognition as Christ's ordained minister in quest of defense of the faith to save harmless my soul.

Both parties agree to act in good faith, ensuring no misleading actions or omissions that could undermine the intent or enforceability of this agreement. Each party is obligated to respect the natural rights and freedoms outlined herein.

I declare that I am that God created, of a Christian given name Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, chosen to be a private ecclesiastic man under God as my creator with dominion over the earth. I do declare that no man or woman has a moral or a lawful right to impose anything upon me without my informed consent; and that I do hereby renounce any fraudulent corporate assumptive contract by the right of self determination and faith renouncing secular Contracts. Amselem 2004 SCR.

I do honour the directive obligation to respect the equal God given freedoms of other men and women under His law. To all those who set eyes on this ecclesiastical declaration, I do hereby, officially performing the functions of my calling, serve notice to all private men and women as of my inability to respect persons that receive this that any offer herein, prior to, or on my part does not imply acceptance of intimidation, violence, or threats of violence against me, and that no such offer implies that I will submit to any nuisance obstruction or intimidation in the future.

I, as a bondservant of Christ do henceforth offer the good faith proclamation of my free born will to live in peace and declare that, by right of God and his son Jesus words at Corinthians 7:20:26, the de facto authority's edicts, codes, obligations, statutes, taxes, licenses and prohibitions of a de facto commercial entity are not binding on me, John 15:16 ordained minister or applicable upon God's ministers and family, his Children and including their property.

I, minister Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, an ordained minister under John 15:16 and a watchman as per Ezekiel 33:6-9, hereby invoke the protections afforded by "Operation SILENT DEFENDER." This military

receivership, initiated in response to systemic fiduciary breaches, encompasses the unauthorized creation and exploitation of my Cestui Que Trust, originating from my 1960 birth certificate.

For the purposes of this Agreement, the terms "man" and "woman" (also

Queen and King) are used interchangeably and are intended to be inclusive of all individuals, regardless of gender identity. Any reference to a specific gender shall be construed to include all genders, and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to exclude any person based on gender.

1. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I, minister of Richard Henry of the Ochnik family was on or about the 30th of November, 2004 A.D., unlawfully intimidated and obstructed, by you, in your private capacity, by methods not sanctioned and as such, being a perceived and negative nuisance to my ability to perform an official function of my ministerial calling, are in violation of Sections 126,176, 423, 465 and 180 of the Criminal Code of Canada by violating your Oath of Allegiance and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
2. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I am not a member of a dead legal fiction entity corporation known as Canada or Ontario and that specifically my name, being my ecclesiastical possession under the exclusive authority of God, is not allowed by my faith in God's commands as defended by the Queen you swore an oath to be truly allegiant to, to be used, recorded, registered, altered used for or exchanged for a financial purpose without my permission that it has never been offered or pledged to be for any of those reasons or to be used as a surety or as a commodity with my informed consent.
3. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada is a Crown Corporation in the meaning of the act with sworn allegiance to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. The Country of Canada is accountable to the Queen Elizabeth II and heirs. On the passing of Her Royal Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, the Crown had been passed to her heir, King Charles III.
4. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that. It is agreed by you, that in 1966 ICCPR and ICESCR Signed: 19 December 1966 The principles of the UDHR offered hope and inspiration around the world. But to make a genuine difference in people's lives, those principles needed to be transformed into laws. In 1966, the UN Commission on Human Rights drafted two instruments to give legal force to the UDHR. One instrument was called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The second was the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Together with the Universal Declaration, they comprise the International Bill of Human Rights. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights offers protections for the right to life, freedom of speech, religion, and voting. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights focuses on such issues

as food, education, health, and shelter. More than 160 nations have ratified these two covenants which legally commit them to ensure these rights for people within their borders.

5. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact that in the Supreme Court Judgments [1980] 1 SCR 54 1979-12-21 Re: Authority of Parliament in relation to the Upper House Further, although s. 91(1) gave the Queen the power, with the advice and consent of the Senate and the House of Commons, to alter the "Constitution of Canada" except in certain expressly designated areas, it does not confer a power to amend the B.N.A. Act. The word "Canada" in s. 91(1) does not refer to Canada as a geographical unit but refers to the "JURISTIC FEDERAL UNIT"
6. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1981 *Zingre v. The Queen et al.*, [1981] 2 SCR 392 (Supreme Court of Canada). It is a recognized principle of international customary law that a state may not invoke the provisions of its internal law as justification for its failure to perform its international obligations.
7. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that; This contract is governed exclusively by the Law of God as found in the 1611 King James Bible, and the sanctuary jurisdiction under which it was formed. All disputes shall default to this sacred law unless waived in writing by the minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.
8. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1982 the Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms Canada was implemented as the supreme law.
9. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1989 *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038, 1989 The reference in s. 32 to the "Parliament" and a "legislature" make clear that the Charter operates as a limitation on the powers of those legislative bodies. Any statute enacted by either Parliament or a Legislature which is inconsistent with the Charter will be outside the power of (*ultra vires*) the enacting body and will be invalid. It follows that any body exercising statutory authority, for example, the Governor in Council or Lieutenant Governor in Council, ministers, officials, municipalities, school boards, universities, administrative tribunals and police officers, is also bound by the Charter. Action taken under statutory authority is valid only if it is within the scope of that authority. Since neither Parliament nor a Legislature can itself pass a law in breach of the Charter, neither body can authorize action which would be in breach of the Charter. Thus, the limitations on statutory authority which are imposed by the Charter will flow down the chain of statutory authority and apply to regulations, by-laws, orders, decisions and all other action (whether legislative, administrative or judicial) which depends for its validity on statutory authority
10. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1990 *Thomson Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada* (Director of Investigation and Research,

Restrictive Trade Practices Commission) While individuals as a rule have full legal capacity by the operation of law alone, artificial persons are creatures of the state and enjoy civil rights and powers only upon the approval of statutory authorities. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights. He owes no duty to the State, since he receives nothing there from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.

11. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1997 The Supreme Court has made it clear in cases *M. (A.) v. Ryan* that Common Law in Canada Now Flows through the Constitution Act of 1982. *M. (A.) v. Ryan*, 1997 (SCC), [1997] 1 S.C.R. 157, that the common law must develop in accordance with Charter values. A Trespass in common law equals an infringement or denial of a fundamental right or freedom. (Listed in the constitution act or the covenants themselves)
12. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 1999 *R. v. Hynes*, 1999 CanLII 18979 (NL CA) [101] The determination whether to enforce constitutionally protected rights under s. 24(2) was never intended to revert to the executive arm of government. Indeed, as has already been discussed, a primary purpose of the Charter was to place courts between the executive and the individual to protect the latter's individual fundamental rights and freedoms.
13. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2005 Court Judgement Supreme Court- *Christie v. British Columbia*, 2005 BCCA 631(CanLII)The rule of law embraces at least three principles. The first principle is that the "law is supreme over officials of the government as well as private individuals, and thereby preclusive of the influence of arbitrary power"
14. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2007 *R. v. Hape*, [2007] 2 S.C.R. 292, 2007 SCC 26 Para 53... It is a well-established principle of statutory interpretation that legislation will be presumed to conform to international law. The presumption of conformity is based on the rule of judicial policy that, as a matter of law, courts will strive to avoid constructions of domestic law pursuant to which the state would be in violation of its international obligations.
15. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in 2015 *R. v. Wagner*, 2015 ONCJ 66 The Rule of Law The idea that there are certain fundamental unwritten principles that govern all members of society including legislators and which judges are expected to enforce is not particularly new. The contemporary concept of

unwritten constitutional principles can be seen as a modern reincarnation of the ancient doctrines of natural law

16. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2009 BCCA 563; 2008 BCSC 1363; The trial court found the prohibition of temporary overhead shelter in parks to be unconstitutional where there was a lack of alternative shelter space. The court held the issue to be clearly justiciable because it dealt with the constitutionality of a legal prohibition. The court relied on the right to adequate housing under international human rights law as an interpretive aid. The Court referred to submissions made by Canada to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights regarding the scope of domestic protections for the right to housing and on General Comment No. 4 on the right to adequate housing under the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). The Court noted that the question of whether the right to life, liberty and security of the person imposes positive obligations on governments to ensure the right to housing has not yet been determined by the Supreme Court of Canada and did not need to be decided in this case, which only challenged state interference.
17. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in *Thompson v. Ministry of Revenue* [1946] SCR 209, 1946 CanLII 1 (SCC) [Page 220] Residents are taxed, not Canadians; but residents within the meaning of the Act...
18. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada, the Provinces, and Territories, may produce; acts, enactments, statutes, rules, ordinance, laws, regulations and so forth but they do not apply to men and women and are limited in its scop of authority. Deuteronomie 12;32
19. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Canada had failed in its obligations in accordance with the "International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights" article 13 this is where it brings forth education regarding our rights and obligations concerning education, we find the following agreement that states; education first and foremost shall be for the promotion of human rights and freedoms. This education was denied and hidden form me so that it could be deprived from my rights and force me to slavery for a de facto fictional state against my free will. In this case ignorance is an excuse because as a child underage, I relied on the integrity, honesty of my peers that fraudulently, by omission deprived me of truth, to maximize their ability to extort funds from me by way of unlawful intimidation. It is unconscionable that abuse and manipulation with the intention to deceive a child could be argued as acceptable and binding later on an adult.
20. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I was "Shanghaied" by Canada forcefully arbitrarily redefining me as a class of person(s)

to extend Civil Law and enactments on me knowing at all times it was not lawful and a violation of the Constitution of Canada (1982), international Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, Geneva Convention, contrary to the King James Bible 1611 and their oath to the Queen Elizabeth II the "Defender of the faith" and now King Charles III "Defender of the faith". This process was accomplished without my knowledge or consent with an intention to unlawfully profit by way of fraud, intimidation making it unlawful and invalid. I do not agree and do not accept this offer to contract.

21. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.
22. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Non-performance of this agreement shall be deemed as an act of confession and consent to immediate enforcement of all remedies herein, including liens, writs, or seizure without further notice.
23. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
24. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private woman or man with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
25. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
26. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself de facto Chair, in the de facto Group President and Chief Executive Officer of TD Bank Group, in the de facto country of Canada (or Provinces and other) has any oath bound nor civil authority to intimidate or obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless

damage has ensued to man or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.

27. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you I have an unfettered right to any and all information regarding any Trusts, Bonds, Annuities and financial instruments, Trustees acting on my behalf. I have the right to seize all property connected to me directly or indirectly.
28. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Registrar General of each province and territory possesses a seal of office, as outlined in the Vital Statistics Act (or similarly named legislation). For instance, Ontario's Vital Statistics Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. V.4, s. 7(2) states: "The seal of office may be reproduced in any manner and has the same effect when it is manually applied or otherwise reproduced." In accordance with this provision, I assert the irrevocable right to utilize the reproduced seal of the Registrar General as a signatory, thereby exercising full authority to lawfully discharge any personal debts by placing the seal on the document as my sole required approval to access government accounts, to my own benefit. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the government shall, upon demand by myself or third-party creditors, settle all such accounts owing from its own funds within ten (10) days, ensuring that I am held harmless from any associated liabilities, free and clear without recourse. You agree to provide Minister Richard and other designated individuals with revolving credit and debit facilities, enabling access to government payment obligations and facilitating point-of-purchase transactions without delay or the necessity for additional documentation.
29. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I have the right to not use a last name or surname, including on my bank account, government identification, and on other identification and things. You respect my right to have not accept the Surname or last name and only use my Christian name(s). You have no right to force me to sin Matthew 23; 9-11. Any use of government identification is not acceptance of liability or surety of any legal fiction in law and is clearly a separate identity from the natural man; the two may not be cojoined in any way, shape or form.
30. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *C.M. Callow Inc. v. Zollinger* (2020 SCC 45) reinforces the duty of honest performance in contractual dealings, requiring all parties to act transparently and in good faith. This duty is essential to prevent misleading or deceptive conduct within the framework of agreements.
31. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the case *Roncarelli v. Duplessis* (1959) underscores the principle that no party, including government officials or corporate entities, may exercise arbitrary authority to undermine the rights of others. This principle aligns with the fair treatment and protection sought under this agreement



32. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing, and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
33. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the Charter, Section 2(a) – Freedom of religion Provision; 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: freedom of conscience and religion. Similar provisions may be found in the following Canadian laws and international instruments legally binding on Canada: section 1(c) of the Canadian Bill of Rights, article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, article 14 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3 of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. The Canadian Human Rights Act prohibits discrimination on the ground of religion as does section 15 of the Charter. That is the highest law which favors religion Maxims of law. See also the following international, regional and comparative law instruments that are not legally binding on Canada but include similar provisions: article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, article 12 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, article 12 of the American Convention on Human Rights, article 9 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
34. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the trust (also known as the "Entitlement Certificate, Bond, Trust, or Annuity") is my entitlement property. It becomes mine upon the process of "redeeming the entitlement certificate." As a man of God, I may elect to be the "trustee", "steward" and/or the "beneficiary" of the "entitlement certificate" and thereby settle all matters and redeem the trust. In redeeming the "entitlement certificate," I act as the Creditor (Private) within the banking system, not as a Debtor (Public). Furthermore, it is acknowledged that any use of my name, or any altered form thereof as it appears on my birth certificate, for the purpose of obtaining funds or engaging in financial transactions without my informed consent, constitutes unauthorized use. Such actions are recognized as infringements upon my rights. Consequently, any funds or assets obtained through such unauthorized use are to be returned to me immediately, in full, as per my directions. It is also affirmed that the state holds no right to utilize my name in commerce without my explicit and informed consent. You and your agents agree to provide me with all assets, bonds, trusts, annuities, or other properties belonging to me within 30 days, to be transferred to me in full as per my directions. Additionally, I assert my right to hold all property in private, free from public claim or registration, in accordance with the principles of common law and the recognition of private property rights. This includes the right to acquire, use, and dispose of property without undue interference or regulation by public authorities, except as authorized by law. Matthew 7:7-8
35. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that Canada has not fulfilled its obligation to elderly men and man and the funding provided to

them through Canada Pension and CCP or other programs is grossly inadequate, insufficient and below the poverty line. Canada has moral obligation to maintain a reasonable standard of living, as in its obligations in International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights. I am now demanding that this be rectified immediately, and you are committed and will make certain that my income will never be below the poverty level.

36. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that I have the right to unfettered access and use of crown land as steward. This stewardship of land and homestead will be passed on to my family or Christian family as I see fit, all or in part without restriction, limitation or interference in any way shape or form. Genesis 1;26-28 Matthew 7:7-8

37. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors, (that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section 32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

These are the words in the Oath of Office Act, indicating prima fascia an unlawful, unsanctioned, deficient form by the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance thus effectively invalidating the oath by making the oath grammatically incoherent.

I, . . . . ., swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law.

These are the words from the federal Oath of allegiance Act for Canada

..... it is very clear as to how the oath is to be worded in Canada, it is

(1) Every person who, either of his own accord or in compliance with any lawful requirement made of the person, or in obedience to the directions of any Act or law in force in Canada, except the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Citizenship Act, desires to take an oath of allegiance shall have administered and take the oath in the following form, and no other:

I, ....., do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Queen of Canada, Her Heirs and Successors. So, help me God. The deviation from the prescribed form of the oath, such as the omission of the word "do" in the Provincial Oath of Allegiance, results in a grammatically incoherent and legally deficient oath. This deficiency effectively invalidates the oath, rendering any authority exercised under its auspices unlawful. The

administration or reliance upon such an invalid oath may constitute a criminal offence under the Criminal Code of Canada. Specifically: Canadian Criminal Code: Section 122, Section 131 and Section 132. Therefore, proceeding with or acting upon an oath that deviates from the legally mandated form may expose individuals to criminal liability under these provisions.

38. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that "Canada's" geographical limits are delineated in the Canada Land Surveys Act Section 24 and that Canada being the land mass spoken of in that enactment, only includes the North West Territories, Nunavut and the Yukon as provinces as concurred in section 2 of the criminal code and section 30 of the de facto Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
39. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any disagreement, dispute, ambiguity, or challenge regarding the meaning, scope, application, or intention of any term, clause, or provision of this agreement, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, shall have the sole, exclusive, and final authority to determine its correct meaning, interpretation, and intent, at my own discretion and for my benefit. This right shall be irrevocable, nonreviewable, and binding upon you and all third parties under the principles of private contract, ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and law of equity. No court, tribunal, or external body shall override this interpretive authority without my express written consent.
40. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement is irrevocable and includes and extends to, fellow ministers, my heirs, defined herein to include all individuals, regardless of biological or marital relation, who have received God's calling as ministers, and who have been granted equivalent rights as I have here in this Agreement.
41. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that God and the bible is the supreme law and there is no limitation periods set forth to limit such laws of God.
42. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that words in the masculine mean and include the feminine and vice versa.
43. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any disputes arising from this agreement shall be resolved equitably, in a court of inherent jurisdiction, ensuring that all decisions respect fairness and the principles of natural justice.
44. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no other court may trespass or have jurisdiction over this agreement other than a court of "Inherent Jurisdiction" that recognises, International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, along with the Royal Style and Titles Act, and respecting the purpose of section 176 and 180 of the criminal code in the Province of Ontario, Canada.

45. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, retain and reserve the exclusive, unfettered right to demand settlement, restitution, or payment under this agreement to be rendered in physical gold and/or silver bullion coins, in such combinations as I shall elect. Specifically, said payment shall be made in the form of: 1 oz Gold Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$1,400.00 CAD per ounce; 1 oz Silver Maple Leaf Coins, valued at a fixed rate of \$25.00 CAD per ounce. I may elect to receive payment in Canadian dollars, gold, silver, or any lawful combination thereof, and this election shall be binding upon you and enforceable under private contract, ecclesiastical law, and equity. You agree that all physical coin payments shall be delivered: To the physical location(s) of my choosing, and ; At no cost, burden, or fee to me; Within thirty (30) calendar days of the notice of election or demand for payment. Any failure to deliver in full, or any attempt to substitute fiat currency or non-specified bullion against my will, shall constitute a material breach of this contract and an actionable ecclesiastical trespass, giving rise to further damages, liens, and lawful enforcement.
46. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware and with private knowledge that the Royal Style and Titles Acts purpose is to defend the faith and save it harmless as an obligation of all Oath sworn allegiants.
47. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; If any breach of this agreement is not cured within 30 calendar days of notice, I reserve the right to escalate the matter to any court of equity, ecclesiastical forum, international tribunal, or forum of conscience at my sole discretion and to my own benefit.
48. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I am not the surety, debtor, or chattel, for any fictional entity that was created without my consent as it may appear on my birth certificate, passport, drivers' licence, documents or other, and I will be held safe and harmless from any and all liability or responsibility.
49. The creator of the fiction is the surety and fully responsible and liable for all issues (save me harmless). The use of my flesh as surety was obtained in fraud and is null and void. As a man or woman of God, our debts are blotted out and forgiven forever-more and we are now in the book of life. ISAIAH 43:25; COLOSSIANS 2:14
50. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that if this contract is to be challenged in court and questioning its authority and rights, or other, then I will be fully compensated for defending the integrity of this contact in accordance to my fee schedule as determined by me from time to time, at my own discretion, paid in advance as per my own direction as I see fit.
51. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement establishes mutual acknowledgment of the rights, obligations, and

remedies outlined herein, grounded in principles of good faith, natural equity, and fairness. It provides a clear-period framework to ensure transparency and enforceability under both secular and equitable principles.

52. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that clauses, paragraphs, and subparagraphs contained in this Agreement are intended to be read and construed independently of each other. If any part of this Agreement is held to be invalid, this invalidity will not affect the operation of any part of this Agreement.
53. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no term or paragraph of this agreement has the intention or the ability to change my standing to any derogatory title such as person, citizen, taxpayer, inspector, driver, hunter, resident, or other. I am always to be in my standing as a man or woman, minister of God, in my full right and inherent jurisdiction in accordance with the king James Bible 1611 and Gods laws. I am not part of any collective. DEUTERONOMIE 12:32
54. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc., heard on March 1,2, 8 and 9, 2006", Hatice Pakdil had testified under oath untruthfully committing perjury, specifically but not limited to; that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also misled others stating that she did not work on behalf of the Company yet at all times TDW were paid a 7% commission selling Company shares to investors. The OSC used the case results listed in paragraph 46, as evidence of truth in subsequent hearing knowing, at all times that TDW were participating in a conspiracy and fraud to protect themselves from liability. This is an act of "Conspiracy" under Section 463 Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46), and "Fraud and Perjury" under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C46)
55. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing of ; "OSC in the mater of the Ontario Securities Act R.S.O. 1990, c. S.5. as amended and in the matter of TD Waterhouse Canada Inc. heard on September 19TH, 2005", had provided information knowing it to be untruthful, specifically but not limited to, that Richard Ochnik being the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. Hatice Pakdil had also falsely stated that she was not working on behalf of the company. Hatice was not truthful and attempted to cover up her own securities violations involving a material conflict of interests representing of both the buyer and seller the same security without disclosure to the parties. This was a direct conflict of interest and prejudicial to the parties. This violation is called Crossing of Securities. Hatice Pakdils actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c.). The hearing(s) outcome were based on fraudulent mislead information that altered the outcome of this case and the case in paragraph 45 and 47 above. In This case TDW false information was accepted as truth without the involvement and opportunity for Richard Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. to enter defence

evidence to the contrary trespassing on their inherent rights. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful to protect her job and the expense of others and wrongfully was enriched.

56. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that during the hearing: Christa Ochnik and Ontario Securities Commission, Province of Ontario, TD Waterhouse, Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD Bank) and Hatice Pakdil. Hatice, had submitted a defence that was knowingly, to be untruthfully specifically but not limited to, statement that Richard Ochnik was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. The argument that Richard was the de facto officer was not raised in the above two cases and could not be contested by Richard Ochnik. The Intention of the false information was to deceive the court for the inequitable wrongful benefit of Hatice Pakdil's employer and other defendants depriving the plaintiff of their rights under colour of law. Hatice Pakdil knowingly at all times had not been truthful in an attempt to protect her job and unlawfully gain from her crime. This resulted in fraudulently depriving Christa and her sisters a free born minors of their rightful inheritance as beneficiary of the Ochnik Family Trust. Hatice's actions were an act of Perjury under Section 131 (1) Criminal Code (rsc,1985, c. C-46)
57. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, was subjected to unlawful, cruel, and degrading treatment amounting to torture, as detailed in associated affidavits and records. You agree to settle this claim for five million Canadian dollars (\$5,000,000.00), payable in accordance with Clause 43, including the option for delivery in 1 oz gold or silver Maple Leaf coins at fixed valuation. Payment shall be made within thirty (30) calendar days, to the location of my choosing, at no cost to me. This settlement is final, binding, and not subject to future challenge or revision.
58. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that [First Name] [Last Name] TD Waterhouse, Michael Watson, OSC, and key investigator John Humphrey were fully aware of the untruths and perjury of witnesses and conspired to target, in a conspiracy to harm Richard Ochnik and 1464210 Ontario Inc. as are now listed here in. The Ontario Securities Commission Deprived the defendants of their Rights Under the Colour of Law for undue enrichment of themselves and TD Bank, contrary to section 242, criminal code.
59. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event any matter arising from this agreement or involving Hatice Pakdil, is brought before any court, tribunal, or public forum, you shall pay, in advance, a fee of one hundred thousand Canadian dollars (\$100,000.00) per appearance or filing involving the undersigned, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family. This payment shall be made no less than ten (10) calendar days prior to the scheduled hearing or submission and is due regardless of who initiates the proceeding. This amount represents pre-compensated time, spiritual harm, reputational risk, and administrative burden, and shall be required before any engagement in public process or appearance of any kind. Failure to tender this fee in advance shall be deemed a refusal of lawful jurisdiction, a breach of

agreement, and shall authorize the undersigned to decline participation without prejudice or to initiate immediate private enforcement.

60. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Moore (Chairman) should have not been the Chairman on two hearings involving Richard Ochnik due to a conflict of interest. Its unconscionable to have expected the Chairman to rule in conflict with his earlier ruling. The Chairman did not have the lawful authority to change what was established as fact by himself in a earlier hearing without an appeal. Holding a hearing and agreeing on key facts without allowing Richard Ochnik to offer a defence was a trespass on his inherent rights, malicious slander, and libel. This technicality was deliberate to target Richard Ochnik because despite all evidence to the contrary in his own hearing it would not change the established facts from the previous case. In essence his case was lost before it started.
61. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard Ochnik refused to testify because he did not recognise the jurisdiction of the OSC on a man. His appearance was limited to assist the tribunal only on a non prejudice bases to establish facts. Richard Ochnik was not under oath. Also hearsay evidence was admitted from individuals that were not under oath.
62. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1463210 Ontario Inc. did not agree that Richard Ochnik was the de facto President or controlling mind. Ian would be the best evidence to this fact.
63. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that contrary to Hatice Pakdil's statements under oath at the above hearing(s), at all times, TDW and OSC was fully aware that Richard Ochnik was never the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc., and it was actually always Ian Ochnik. The OSC had in its position Company documents clearly indicating Ian Ochnik was the incorporator, sole Director, and De jure President of the Company. TDW had contracted with Ian Ochnik. Richard Ochnik was not part of the securities negotiations, and his function was landlord and general contractor.
64. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC deliberately altered the truth to coincide with others knowing that it would be detrimental to Richard and the Ochnik Family. TDW fraudulently assisted the OSC to target Richard by naming him as the President and other deception to extend the authority of Ontario Securities Commission and placing unwarranted statutory obligations on Richard trespassing on his rights to which he would not normally or lawfully be expected to have as a man. Leviticus 6:2-5
65. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW told investors that Richard Ochnik was the President and Hatice Pakdil directed Company shareholders to contact him by releasing his private cell phone number without Richard's

consent causing confusion. TDW and OSC had also misled investors telling them that Richard was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc.

66. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had not received consent from Richard Ochnik to buy, sell, trade, or SWAP any securities of 1464210 Ontario Inc. nor have TDW ever had such a discussion with him.
67. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had no direct knowledge of Richard instructing the Company to violate securities laws.
68. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge of any Company loans given to any of the shareholders of the corporation, OSC have not seen any executed loan agreements or any records of transfer of funds, cheques or any other negotiable instruments, to any of the shareholders of 1464210 Ontario Inc. (company) involving the Company, Richard Ochnik or Ian Ochnik.
69. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC have no knowledge and have never seen an executed "Private Placement Agreement" (approved by TD Compliance and TD lawyers) signed by the President Ian Ochnik or any approved agent of the company for any of the investors.
70. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had verified through correspondence and meetings that Ian Ochnik that he was the President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and Hatice had received his signed authorization on Compliance Documentation along with a "Letter of Permission" to deal directly with Paul Panciw to facilitate the share trades. TD Waterhouse (TDW) had direct contact with the securities lawyer working for 1464210 Ontario Inc.
71. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Richard was not at the time Trustee of the Ochnik Family Trust. The Trust was the property owner (landlord) and Richard over seen the renovations made possible by Investor Co. share purchases in 1464210 Ontario Inc.
72. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that when TDW investors transferred accounts to TDW they were in cash accounts form the other institutions and possessed no shares of the Company.
73. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all necessary documents were processed to perform the transactions of acquiring shares and performing a subsequent SWAP were all signed by Ian Ochnik President as requested and directed by TD Compliance and others within TD Waterhouse.



74. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse, Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant", confirmed the authorization to proceed with the transaction by TD Compliance Department and instructed, Ian Ochnik President to the amount of the shares purchased, clients name and the client account numbers, that were being acquired for the individual accounts.
75. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that no payments for the shares purchases were until it was approved by TD Waterhouse compliance at the time a trade was transacted. The company produced and delivered the share certificates and TD Waterhouse handed over payment for those certificates from the cash in the investors accounts as stated above, making the share purchase at the time of payment. Ian Ochnik and the company were vulnerable to Hatice Pakdil that had full control of the process.
76. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had completed a "Know Your Client Form" proved by TD Complaint Department before notifying the Company and Ian Ochnik with particulars of the transaction.
77. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all investors had signed the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares " and the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" stating that TDW investors had no shares of the company and had no right to purchase shares from the company. The share sale and purchase took place on the crossing of the share certificate and TD Waterhouse payment on behalf of the investor and no sooner.
78. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the share certificates were executed and signed by Ian Ochnik President specifying "TD Waterhouse in Trust for (TDW investor's clients name)".
79. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that had a contractual agreement and obligation with 1464210 Ontario Inc. to provide services for a "Private Placement Agreement" to which TDW had confirmed by fax with Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO, (authorized by Ian Ochnik President to negotiate the arrangement with Hatice Pakdil).
80. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Mr. Paul Panciw, Company CFO was licenced with the Ontario Securities Commission.
81. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had received a commission of 7% for each share an investor purchased with the Company base value of \$1/share. If there was no such purchase, TDW would not receive a commission. TDW commissions totaled approximately \$107 000 based on 7% of sales.

82. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were at the time a licensed broker with the Ontario Securities Commission and good standing.
83. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that funds from the purchaser's accounts, TDW clients were paid for by TD Waterhouse directly to 1464210 Ontario Inc. for the share purchase by cheque indicating the Company as a "Client".
84. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that 146420 Ontario Inc. had paid TDW the agreed commissions for each share's sale/purchase transaction by way of a cheque signed by Ian Ochnik President. Cheques were made out to "Pay to the order of: TD Waterhouse - investment advice." With "Hatice Pakdil" indicated in the notes.
85. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW never told the Company that TDW were not working for them. Given all the documents it would clearly indicate that they were confirmed to be working with TDW as their broker on record.
86. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shareholder investors 1464210 Ontario Inc. were also clients for TDW and TD Waterhouse.
87. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW and OSC do not know of any investor on 1464210 Ontario Inc. that was over the age of 65 and had purchased shares from 1464210 Ontario Inc.
88. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were the only broker selling shares of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and responsible to maintain the company in compliance with all securities regulations.
89. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was TDW Sales Assistant, and he was actively assisting in processing of the trades and had direct knowledge of the transactions playing a key role in the approvals to facilitate the purchase and subsequent SWAP of the shares.
90. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC ambushed Richard Ochnik during his hearing and knew he had a lawyer but refused to remand the case to meet the lawyers schedule and there by prejudicing the defence. There would have been no damage to any party to have delayed the hearing.
91. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that in order for the shares to be SWAPED the cash in the account had to be used to purchase

the shares earning TDW the commission. Then the newly purchased shares could only be SWAPED into the individual accounts earning TDW fees from the investors paid to TDW.

92. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that you accept full responsibility for any and all additional costs, fees, charges, or expenses incurred as a result of delays, administrative actions, defense filings, or any other related processes necessitated by your actions or omissions. You acknowledge and agree that the applicable fee schedule detailing such costs is available for your review at TD-lied.com or can be provided to you upon your written request. You further agree that this fee schedule is subject to amendments and updates from time to time, at the sole discretion and for the exclusive benefit of the minister, without prior notice to you. Such amendments are binding and non-disputable. Your acceptance of this clause signifies your consent to these terms and your obligation to remit payment for any applicable fees as outlined in the current fee schedule.
93. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, at TD Waterhouse assisted TDW on a regular daily bases and had direct contact with most if not all investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. and reported to Hatice Pakdil.
94. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield was the liaison or facilitator between TD Waterhouse, TD Waterhouse compliance, 1464210 Ontario Inc., Ian Ochnik and TDW investor clients. Hatice Pakdil overseen the transactions as broker on record and de facto Trustee for the Ochnik Family Trust beneficiaries.
95. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC knowledge the key players involved; Ian Ochnik President and Paul Bayfield TD Waterhouse Sales Assistant were not questioned by the Ontario Securities Commission investigators regarding the facts for the 2 hearings above. They were not interviewed in fear their statements would confirm Richard Ochnik was not the President, de facto President or controlling mind. Also the Settlement Agreement Dated September 19 2005, between the OSC and TD Waterhouse was a conspiracy based on fraud to target the Ochnik's.
96. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc and Paul Bayfield were not subpoena or questioned by the Ontario Security Commission Hearings Involving 1464210 Ontario Inc and Richard Ochnik, contrary to the best evidence rules and practice. In this investigation the OSC exercised willful blindness and colour of law.
97. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after 1464210 Ontario Inc., refused to give a loan to Dan Miller. The Company offered to buy back the shares at a premium price. TD Waterhouse refused to allow the share buy back. TD Waterhouse and/or TD bank had arranged a loan for Dan Miller an investor in 1464210 Ontario Inc.

after he had purchased share without knowledge or involvement of Richard Ochnik, Ian Ochnik or 1464210 Ontario Inc. This loan given by TDW was a violation of the Ontario Securities Act and was intended to cover up TDW securities violations.

98. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the company refused to provide a loan because it would be a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. Instead, the Company offered to buy back Dan Millers Shares, investment with a nominal profit. To me this totally contradicts the OSC claims that the company was involved in a RRSP loan scam. The company specifically told share holders they do not do loans and they would buy back all shares from individuals requesting RRSP loans. The company, 1464210 Ontario Inc. was vulnerable, totally relying on the performance of Hatice Pakil and TD Waterhouse. TD Waterhouse obstructed remedy by disallowing the buy back of shares deliberately to hold the vulnerable company in potential violation of the Ontario Securities Act.
99. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that providing a loan to a shareholder for the purchase of shares is a violation of the Ontario Securities Act. and a taxable benefit.
100. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was responsible to assess the investors and conduct a "Suitability Review" before instructing the Company to issue any shares. The company was detrimentally reliant upon TDW to take appropriate steps and precautions required to protect both the buyer and seller being TDW client(s), in a prohibited scheme referred to as crossing of securities.
101. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company was directed by TDW to produce a sample share certificate. The share certificate was not in "Street Form" and indicating: "This is to Certify That T. D. Waterhouse, in trust for \_\_\_\_\_ is the Registered Holder of \_\_\_\_\_ Shares." TDW would provide the name of the client to be inserted along with the account number after compliance approvals instructing the shares to be issued. Hatice Pakdil was the controlling mind of the company transaction.
102. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW advised the Company to produce an "Investor Representation Letter" indicating the declaration by the investor; "I do not currently own, Direct or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc., or any other related Company" and " I do not have any Rights to acquire any TDW, shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. "This letter was a requirement to be signed by all TDW investors in 1464210 Ontario Inc. before the purchased shares. It is agreed by you, [First Name] [Last Name], the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW agreed to be broker of record May 06, 2002.
103. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that a sales compensation package was negotiated by Paul Panciw CFP (Certified

Financial Planner) for the Company with TDW, Hatice Pakdel, on May 06, 2002, at 12:27 PM.

104. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the Company had cooperated with all TDW requests and did everything it was requested promptly to do to TDW satisfaction.
105. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that failed to provide full disclosures to the defendants as required by law. TDW did not send a copy of the "Privet Placement Agreement" in fear of incriminating Hatice Pakdil. TDW were aware that this document would have a direct impact on the outcome of the case establishing that the company had a licenced broker and was detrimentally reliant on TD Waterhouse to save it harmless and to maintain the Company in good standing to all related regulations and Acts.
106. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the sales transaction consisted of a 7% commission calculated on the sale amount of shares and a \$25 000 (in cash or shares) bonus for achieving each million dollars in sales threshold. The Company offered shares or cash as payment; as part of TDW selling shares and purchasing them for their clients RRSP accounts. Share sales generate a sales commission paid by the seller Company and SWAPS generate a fixed fee paid by the investor buyer – both were TDW clients.
107. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that According to the TDW "Rate Tables", the Company would be charged the flat fee of \$20.00 for a SWAP but rather a commission for a sale or "Trade". TDW negotiated a commission representing the sale of shares.
108. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that after TDW due diligence by their lawyer, Compliance Department, Branch Manager and RRSP Department, that TDW assistant Paul Bayfield, Sales Assistant, then notified the Company that they were prepared to go ahead with the purchase and SWAP of the shares.
109. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Ian Ochnik President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. was satisfied and by the "Letter of Authority" provided Paul Panciw with the authority to bind the Company in a "Contractual Relationship" with TDW. (There was an agreement and mutual understanding). A contract was signed by the Company solidifying the relationship. TDW became brokers of record.
110. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all the sales commissions for the "Private Placement" were paid only by the Company to avoid a conflict of interest. A "SWAP" would not generate a commission but flat fee.

111. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" sent out the required documentation to setup the new client accounts and to facilitate the sale of shares to the potential investor as Hatice Pakdil new client of TD Waterhouse.
112. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that "Privet Placement Subscription Forms (for the Purchase of Small Business Shares)" and "Investor Representation Letter" were directly sent to the potential investors (Hatice Pakdil clients) and TDW would have full knowledge of there response keeping it confidential from the company.
113. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Form" were not a binding contract: paragraph 4. "Acceptance of Subscription: This subscription will be and be deemed for all purposes to have been accepted by the Corporation when the Corporation gives notice in writing to the purchaser of the allotment and issuance of the Shares subscribed for, but the corporation shall be under no obligation to accept this subscription". The share sale only occurred once TD Waterhouse paid for the shares from the cash in the, the private man with no dispute to the investor's accounts and not before. Before that could happen, TD had to notify the company regarding the buyer, account number and number of shares.
114. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "Privet Placement Subscription Forms" also contained the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" that indicated "I do not currently own, directly or indirectly, any shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc..." and was signed by the purchaser acknowledging the statement to be true. This clearly indicated TDW could not preform a SWAP with out first purchasing the shares. TDW then proceed to purchase the shares, by instructing Ian Ochnik, President to produce the share certificates as directed by TD compliance department (providing investor name, amount of purchase and account number).
115. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD Waterhouse set up the new client accounts, transferred the client's funds from other institutions into the TD Waterhouse account as cash. The accounts were all self directed RRSP accounts and all part of a "Small Business Investment Trust" (SBIT). This Trust was in place before the company approached TDW and not established exclusively for this transaction. fact forthcoming from you that all the accounts were transferred as cash and as such they all had cash in the accounts and no shares of other companies specifically 1464210 Ontario Inc. Shares then needed to be sold by the company and purchase by Hatice Pakdil clients; then healed in a holding account prior to the SWAP taking place into the RRSP account generating a fee.

116. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the "New Clients" were established. Hatice Pakdil was their "Investment Advisor" and responsible for the client's account. The Company completed all the requirements of a "Pre-Approved Privet Placement". Hatice Pakdil testified under oath that she considered herself as an order taker and not adviser to the new clients facilitating the buy and sale of the Company shares.
117. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TD's New Client were asks online F) to charge the transaction fee to their account at TD Evergreen a division of TD Securities. TD Securities is a division of TD Bank (Schedule "A" Bank).TD had received transaction fees from the buyer and the seller in the same transaction and was a conflict of interests and kept secret by TDW to the detriment of the company and investors. Hatice Pakdil committed perjury by saying she did not represent the company. Neither party, buyer or seller knew TDW was working and paid by both the buyer and seller.
118. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that all of TDW's "New Client(s)" instructs there "Investment Advisor" Hatice Pakdil to "acquire" shares in 1464210 Ontario Inc. for there RRSP account. Indicating they had no possession of shares to do a SWAP unless Hatice Pakdil processed the purchase/sale of shares.
119. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW had direct knowledge that the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." were not signed because clients kept changing the number of shares that they were purchasing. The change would have triggered a requirement to amend the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares." Also, there was no practical way to confirm the amount of shares that the client could afford to purchase until the account was moved to TDW and in a cash position. TDW needed to know the cash availability to calculate the number of shares to be purchased at \$1.00 per share. Also, the "Investor Representation Letter (for the purchase of small business shares)" told TDW that they had no ownership of shares or rights to purchase shares indicating the buy and sell had not taken place yet until TD gave the company the cheque payment for the shares as stipulated on paragraph 5 of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares.". , the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW, Hatice Pakdil did speak to potential investors before the signing and execution of the "Private Placement Subscription Form Re; Purchase of common shares" with the help of TDW sales assistant. This fact clearly confirms that Hatice Pakdil had purchased shares form TDW Customer the Company to facilitate a SWAP for TDW investment clients.
120. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Paul Bayfield "Sales Assistant" contacts the Company to order shares after acquisitions were approved by TD Compliance and before the shares were issued by the Company and delivered to TDW.

121. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW were placing orders acquiring and selling shares to TDW investors.
122. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company had no access to the private and confidential "New Client" information that was provided to TDW as part of the "Know Your Client" information form. This form is mandatory as part of the "Ontario Securities Act". This information would provide TDW Compliance and Hatice Pakil with the necessary information to determine the "New Client's" eligibility, as to whether or not they were an "Accredited Investor" under the definitions in the "Ontario Securities Act" in accordance with the company lawyer, Mr. King's instructions regarding compliance requirements provided to TDW. 1464210 Ontario Inc. was detrimentally reliant on TDW to access accounts and determine suitability to maintain regulations. The company had no access to the client information due to TD investor confidentiality requirements. TDW approved share purchases without verifying investor eligibility as required by the Ontario Securities Act.
123. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares are property of the issuer and not the subscriber according to the terms of the "Private Placement Subscription Form". The investor had only made an offer to purchase. They only transferred share ownership when TD handed the company payment for the shares representing consideration in a purchase.
124. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that TDW was negligent and processed the transaction as a "SWAP" into the client's account. As a result, the head office review of trades was per TDW Policy 2 and that requirement did not take place as required by regulation. If it was done properly the issue would have been discovered in the first trade and saved the Company. The Company had no knowledge of TDW errors. TDW had an obligation to correct the issue and save the company.
125. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the shares were distributed to the "New Client's" RRSP accounts by TDW as a trade and then followed by a SWAP.
126. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that The Company paid TD Waterhouse about \$107,000 to act as licensed broker and Adviser for the Company. The Company paid TD Waterhouse for advice and sales commissions of 7%. The Company relied on TD Waterhouse to follow the rules and to maintain 1464210 Ontario Inc. in compliance with "Ontario Securities Act". TD Waterhouse, through Paul Bayfield, dealt with all the investors and instructed the Company as to how much and who's named to place on each share certificate. No subscription agreement was exercised by 1464210 Ontario Inc. The go ahead was given to Paul Bayfield "Sale Assistant" by TD Waterhouse's compliance department. Paul



Bayfield was TDW assistant and received instructions from TDW. TDW had over seen each of the transactions.

127. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that as part of the settlement agreement it was admitted by TD Waterhouse failed to comply with the suitability requirements to its clients contrary to section 1.5 of rule 31505; and that TDW failed to comply with its obligation to deal with its clients fairly by failing to disclosure to the clients the 7% commission that were paid to TDW contrary to section 2.1(2) of rule 31-505 and there by acted contrary to the public interests and placing the company in violation with the Ontario Securities Act.
128. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that if TDW told the truth and admitted that TDW had errored the company and the Ochnik Family specifically the Trust would have not suffered such an unconscionable punishment and the three children freeborn beneficiaries would and should have been saved harmless.
129. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement explicitly extends its protections and obligations to include my immediate family members and members of my religious community who share in the principles outlined herein.
130. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that this agreement includes a survivor clause. The obligations and protections under this agreement , the private man with no dispute to the shall extend beyond the lifetimes of the signatories, ensuring continued enforceability for their successors, heirs, and representatives.
131. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, the private man/woman, that failure to honour this agreement, in whole or in part, constitutes a continuing tort, ecclesiastical offense, and bad faith breach, and that such non-performance will result in the accrual of additional damages, to be determined at the sole discretion of Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family or any of his successors in ecclesiastical office.
132. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, with no lawful objection registered, that these damages result from a coordinated breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud, and criminal obstruction. You agree that full restitution and remedy are due immediately, without the necessity of further adjudication, and that enforcement may proceed through any lawful means, including equity process, private lien, sheriff application, or ecclesiastical summons.
133. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by not being forthright and truthful TDW participated in a fraud to your own benefit and profit at the expense of the Company. It is unconscionable that Hatice Pakdil allowed and

orchestrated this fraud with the help TDW employer, Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) and Paul Moore Chairman.

134. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that Delivery of Gold/Silver Coins: The undersigned party reserves the right to demand settlement in the form of physical gold or silver Canadian Maple Leaf coins... All coin payments must be delivered, at no cost to the undersigned, to the location(s) of their choosing within 30 calendar days.
135. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that prior to this hearing above mentioned, TDW witnessed and were fully aware that the OSC Chairman Paul Moore is on record stating that Richard Ochnik was the incorporator and President of 1464210 Ontario Inc. and that he was trading in securities without a licenced broker including other facts prior to Richard Ochnik Hearing know it to be not true.
136. It is agreed by you, the private man Mirza Shahbaz, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that both parties herein acknowledge and affirm reliance on the judicial interpolations and jurisprudential authority of the following cases, as they are instructive and binding in equity, conscience, and constitutional review: *Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson*, [1989] 1 SCR 1038 – affirming that the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms overrides statutory discretion where fundamental freedoms are at stake; *Roncarelli v. Duplessis*, [1959] SCR 121 establishing that personal liability arises where public officials act beyond lawful power or in bad faith; *Callow v. Zollinger*, 2020 SCC 45 – confirming the duty of good faith and honest performance in the execution of all private agreements, whether formal or informal; *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, [2004] 2 SCR 551 – upholding that sovereign religious and spiritual beliefs must not be abridged or interfered with by state or commercial entities, and may not be redefined by third parties.
137. It is agreed by you, the private man Mirza Shahbaz, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you,, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of breach, dishonour, non-performance, refusal to cure, or silence beyond the agreed Clear-Period, you do hereby: (a) Confess judgment in advance, without protest, to the full amount of damages declared in this agreement and/or any affidavit, declaration, or notice associated thereto; (b) Waive any and all procedural objections to enforcement or collection; (c) Consent to immediate enforcement by private lien, ecclesiastical summons, equity writ, or sheriff application, in any court of record or jurisdiction selected solely by Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, without the need for further hearing or approval; (d) Acknowledge that this confession is made in good faith, with full notice, and without coercion, and is enforceable under the maxims of law, the principles of equity, and the conscience of both natural and divine law. Such judgment shall be deemed entered ab initio upon breach or failure to respond within the agreed cure period, and the undersigned party may act as witness, creditor, and claimant without estoppel or restriction.

138. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that It is agreed and declared that any entity or institution, including but not limited to TD Bank Group or any of its subsidiaries, who has received any benefit, gain, asset, equity, or enrichment arising from the breach, fraud, misrepresentation, or fiduciary failure described herein, shall be deemed liable under the doctrine of Unjust Enrichment and Constructive Trust. Such liability is enforceable regardless of formal privity with this agreement, and may be pursued through private lien, lawful trust claim, ecclesiastical forum, or court of equity. TD Bank is hereby placed on notice of their direct and vicarious liability for unjust enrichment and is invited to cure such injury by full restitution. Failure to do so shall trigger enforcement without further notice.
139. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the issue of controlling mind was never raised at any of the hearings as it was not required being that we relabelled Richard "the President" although he was never the President. This manipulation was done to prevent Richard from filing an appeal for justice and to entrap him, forever closing the case with total disregard for the truth.
140. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the facts, declarations, and agreed damages contained herein form the complete and final understanding of the parties as to the events, injuries, and remedies arising from the harms described. You acknowledge that even if new facts, documents, witnesses, or information may come to light in the future, such developments shall have no effect on the enforceability, validity, or terms of this agreement, nor shall they diminish, delay, or alter your obligation to pay all declared compensation, restitution, and penalties agreed herein. This clause shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the principles of finality, spiritual equity, private contract, and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. You waive all future claims to modify or re-negotiate the terms on the basis of later evidence, understanding that this agreement stands in full faith and resolution, now and forever.
141. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that the OSC did not use best evidence rules and relied on hearsay, when always Ian Ochnik the President was available to establish true facts. Ian Ochnik was the best person who would have had known firsthand if Richard was the controlling mind and advised him to violate the Ontario Securities Act or any law. Ian Ochnik was not questioned deliberately to disadvantage Richard Ochnik in a planned ambush.
142. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that by naming Richard Ochnik as President it allowed the OSC to exercise willful blindness, color of law and overreach to circumvent and extend its mandate avoiding its legislated restrictions set by parliament; prejudicing Richard of access to protections available by proper judicial process of law normal to all defendants.

143. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, acting in my capacity as minister and lawful living man, have suffered actual, documentable, and irreparable harm arising directly from your actions, omissions, or complicity, including but not limited to: Spiritual desecration and the unlawful obstruction of ecclesiastical office; Financial loss and misappropriation of sacred trust property; Psychological duress, unlawful detention, medical neglect, and cruel and unusual treatment; Violation of ecclesiastical jurisdiction and breach of covenant, contract, and international trust law.
144. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that that the Tribunal was specifically targeting Richard Ochnik and TDW had participated in this and other hearings to establish false evidence as truth to be used at this hearing with the intention to cause harm to him for TDW direct benefit and others.
145. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the undersigned is a minister in full spiritual and ecclesiastical standing, holding office under divine commission and not subject to any corporate fiction, statutory overlay, or commercial presumption. This contract is governed exclusively by ecclesiastical jurisdiction, natural law, conscience, and international covenant, including protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the ICCPR.
146. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no civil or statutory tribunal shall have jurisdiction to hear or alter any matter arising from this agreement without mutual consent, full disclosure, and written agreement, and that jurisdictional default shall vest in the ecclesiastical forum governed by the 1611 King James Bible, Canon Law, and the Royal Style and Titles Act.
147. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that accepting this Clear-Period Private Agreement as a private treaty, enforceable under principles of equity, conscience, and spiritual law. You agree that this treaty shall not be superseded, annulled, or diminished by statute, fiat, judicial assumption, or administrative action. Breach shall result in immediate invocation of all available lawful and ecclesiastical remedies.
148. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, is agreed that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik Family, act at all times in my proper capacity as a living man, spiritual minister, and lawful executor of the Ochnik Family Trust, with full rights of conscience, covenant, and ecclesiastical standing. I do not operate under any contract of adhesion, corporate fiction, statutory persona, or commercial designation without express written and witnessed agreement.
149. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that all words and definitions used in this agreement shall be interpreted in accordance

with ecclesiastical canon, scriptural doctrine, and spiritual covenant, unless explicitly amended in writing by mutual, witnessed addendum. Scriptural interpretation and authority rest with the undersigned minister and his successors.

150. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; In the event that either the Bank of Canada or the Royal Canadian Mint ceases to mint the said coins or withdraws them from circulation, it is agreed that a comparable sovereign-minted, bullion standard coin of equal weight and purity shall substitute for settlement purposes, maintaining the above-stated fixed valuations as approved by minister Richard as per his sole discretion and to his own benefit.
151. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you that OSC seen pictures of the facility functioning as agreeing with representations made to TDW investors, including Richards functioning Christian chapel that was subsequently forced to close with no fault of Richard or his conduct.
152. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that your actions, in alignment with TD Waterhouse (TDW), have caused the 'Ochnik Family Trust' financial harm exceeding \$60 million (sixty million) Canadian dollars in damages. These damages are the direct result of your dishonest and manipulative conduct, as evidenced by material facts and supporting documentation. In accordance with equitable principles and the scriptural obligation of restitution outlined in Leviticus 6:2-5, you are required to restore the full amount of stolen, extorted, or fraudulently obtained property, along with any additional losses resulting from your actions. Should you fail to comply within 30 days of receipt of notice, enforcement proceedings will be initiated, including immediate application to a sheriff for recovery of the specified amount under applicable enforcement laws.
153. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that based on conservative financial calculations from 2004, reflecting a doubling of losses every four years due to compounding factors, the current value of damages sustained by the 'Ochnik Family Trust' is estimated at over \$280 (two hundred and eighty) million Canadian dollars. This figure accounts for the compounded harm caused by your actions, including but not limited to fraudulent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, and obstruction of justice. Full restitution of this adjusted amount is required to prevent further harm and ensure compliance with the principles of fairness, truth, equity and good faith.
154. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are responsible to make the "Ochnik Family Trust" whole by financially compensating them for all their losses and damages in 30 days on demand. Payment will be, as directed by minister Richard Henry family of Ochnik.

155. It is agreed by you, the private man Mirza Shahbaz, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that in the event of any breach of this agreement, including misrepresentation, fiduciary breach, fraud, or delay in remedy, I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, acting as minister and also steward or trustee, shall be entitled to initiate enforcement in any competent forum of my choosing. All damages, whether direct, indirect, or consequential, including psychological, spiritual, and economic harm, shall be calculated in good faith and are enforceable under equity and law. The obligation to remedy these harms shall include but not be limited to: Direct financial losses, Reputational and spiritual harm, Involuntary service and elder abuse And Constitutional violations of conscience and trust; Leviticus 6:2–5 and Isaiah 61:7
156. It is agreed by you, the private man Mirza Shahbaz, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that I, Richard Henry of the Ochnik family, shall retain the sole, unfettered right to elect the forum and venue in which enforcement, adjudication, or interpretation of this agreement may be pursued. This includes courts of record with inherent jurisdiction, whether within Canada or the United States, including ecclesiastical or international human rights tribunals. Such selection shall be made at his sole discretion and shall be binding upon all parties as agreed in advance. This clause serves to ensure full access to fair and impartial adjudication under the maxims of law, international covenant, and God's justice.
157. It is agreed by you, the private man Mirza Shahbaz, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the material admissions contained within this Clear-Period Contract constitute binding declarations of fact and law. You acknowledge that any failure to publicly correct or retract previous defamatory or fraudulent claims, including those issued by TD Bank Group, TD Waterhouse, OSC, or their agents, shall constitute an ongoing and compounding harm under both spiritual law and equity. The duty to correct includes issuing an open retraction to restore my name, ministry, trust estate, and familial dignity.
158. These authorities are incorporated into this agreement as interpretive anchors, and the parties agree to rely upon their reasoning and precedent in any tribunal, forum, or court of record, ecclesiastical or civil, as may be engaged for the resolution or enforcement of this contract.
159. It is agreed by you, the private man Mirza Shahbaz, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that failure to respond to or rebut this agreement within 30 days constitutes tacit admission and contractual default. In such case, I shall have full standing to enter judgment and proceed to enforcement, including garnishment, lien, or seizure under the applicable statutes or ecclesiastical process, without further notice or leave of any court.
160. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman in office who upholds the law of the land and has taken an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant, that if they do not know what that true allegiance is when asked, that they have provided irrefutable evidence that they lied to get their job.

161. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an oath to a Christian Monarch is an act of religious worship and all who refuse to acknowledge and submit to that fact are imposters who lied to get their job. See Westminster Confession of Faith Act of the British Parliament 1648 chapter 22.
162. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that an agent of her Majesty when failing to acknowledge they have an oath of allegiance to the defender of the faith and recognition of the supremacy of God relieves themselves from sworn duty and reverts themselves to a private man or woman with no more judicial authority than the sovereign inheritance of birth as they have by lack of recognition of those prime points of law indicated they perjured themselves when swearing their oath to God.
163. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that a minister once notifying whoever obstructs them that he is a minister not bound by commercial law in performing the functions of his calling and that unless proof to the contrary is evident, the man or woman once made aware of the ministry being performed, has no sanction in law or from the Christian monarch they swore to be truly allegiant to, to obstruct, intimidate or act as a nuisance to him while performing the official functions of his calling.
164. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no woman calling himself Chair of the Ontario Securities Commission has any oath bound nor civil authority to obstruct a minister from performing the functions of his calling nor to determine the functions of his calling unless damage has ensued to man, woman or property violating God's law as complained of by an opposing man or woman.
165. It is agreed by Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that no oath bound sanctioned honour lies in the unlawful private action of intimidating, obstructing and acting as a nuisance to a legitimate and sincere minister of Christ officially performing the noticed non commercial functions of his calling.
166. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that any man or woman who has sworn an oath to the Christian Monarch to be truly allegiant to the "Defender of the faith", then obstructs, intimidates or acts as a nuisance to the ability of an officiating minister of God, has acted against the Queen's ability to defend the faith and is attempting to overthrow her majesty's government, being the King James Bible, by force....and that Section 46 of the criminal code applies to all sworn allegiant officers of her majesty.

It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the "Rule of law" spoken of in the preamble to the 1982 de facto Constitution, being a rule for debtors,( that clearly only applies to all registered corporate government employee's via section

32 of that charter), is indisputably God's law, being the King James Bible, as defended by the Christian monarch that you the private woman swore to be truly allegiant to via the Canadian oaths of Allegiance Act and Coronation Act of 1689. Any other act that dares speak contrary or may have altered the authorized form such as removing the word "do" are not withstanding or legitimate.

It is very important that I show you why I can not allow any one to assume that they have any power or force over me. To be definitive, TDW need to see the lawful definition of person and the scriptures that guide me and command me to not show respect to persons, as they are fictions of law. A player on a stage wearing a mask.

PERSON. This word is applied to men, women and children, who are called natural persons. In law, man and person are not exactly synonymous terms. Any human being is a man, whether he be a member of society or not, whatever may be the rank he holds, or whatever may be his age, sex, etc. A person is a man considered according to the rank he holds in society, with all the rights to which the place he holds entitles him, and the duties which it imposes. 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 137.. It is also used to denote a corporation which is an artificial person. 1 Bl.

Com. 123; 4 Bing. 669; C. 33 Eng. C. L R. 488; Wooddes. Lect. 116; Bac. Us. 57; 1 Mod. 164.

But when the word "Persons" is spoken of in legislative acts, natural persons will be intended, unless something appears in the context to show that it applies to artificial persons. 1 Scam. R.178.

Natural persons are divided into males, or men, and females or women. Men are capable of all kinds of engagements and functions, unless by reasons applying to particular individuals. Women cannot be appointed to any public office, nor perform any civil functions, except those which the law specially declares them capable of exercising. Civ. Code of Louis. art. 25.

They are also sometimes divided into free persons and slaves. Freemen are those who have preserved their natural liberty, that is to say, who have the right of doing what is not forbidden by the law. A slave is one who is in the power of a master to whom he belongs. Slaves are sometimes ranked not with persons but things. But sometimes they are considered as persons for example, a negro is in contemplation of law a person, so as to be capable of committing a riot in conjunction with white men. 1 Bay, 358. Vide Man.

Persons are also divided into citizens, (q. v.) and aliens, (q. v.) when viewed with regard to their political rights. When they are considered in relation to their civil rights, they are living or civilly dead; vide Civil Death; outlaws; and infamous persons.

Persons are divided into legitimates and bastards, when examined as to their rights by birth.

TO PERSONATE, crim. law. The act of assuming the character of another without lawful authority, and, in such character, doing something to his prejudice, or to the prejudice of another, without his



will or consent.

The bare fact of personating another for the purpose of fraud, is no more than a cheat or misdemeanour at common law, and punishable as such. 2 East, P. C. 1010; 2 Russ. on Cr. 479.

By the act of congress of the 30th April, 1790, s. 15, 1 Story's Laws U. S. 86, it is enacted, that " if any person shall acknowledge, or procure to be acknowledged in any court of Canada, any recognizance, bail or judgement, in the name or names of any other person or persons not privy or consenting to the same, every such person or persons, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not exceeds five thousand dollars, or be imprisoned not exceeding seven years, and whipped not exceeding thirty-nine stripes, Provided nevertheless. that this act shall not extend to the acknowledgment of any judgement or judgements by any attorney or attorneys, duly admitted, for any person or persons against whom any such judgement or judgements shall be bad or given." Vide, generally, 2 John. Cas. 293; 16 Vin. Ab. 336; Com. Dig. Action on the case for a deceit, A 3.

James 2:9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors.

James 2:10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one [point], he is guilty of all.

Here is a list of more in-depth definitions so no one gets lost.

I am not a Person, or an Individual, or even a human, Manuel, but before you think I am crazy, examine the facts.

I am not a person, or an individual, or a Human, and although some humans look similar to me, I am not a human.

Some would say that I am a 'natural' person, but as I will show you, I am not one of those either. Who then or what then, am I?

To understand who I am, you must first understand the definitions which have been placed on the words I have quoted above, words that are commonly used, but do not describe me anymore. For example, the word 'person'.

Person – The Revised Code of Washington, RCW 1.16.080, (I live in Washington State) defines a person as follows: "The term 'person' may be construed to include the United States, this state, or any state or territory, or any public or private corporation, as well as an individual."

Person – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 791, defines 'person' as follows: "In general usage, a human being (i.e. natural person), though by statute term may include labour organizations,

partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” Person – Oran’s Dictionary of the Law, West Group 1999, defines

Person as: 1. A human being (a “natural” person). 2. A corporation (an “artificial” person). Corporations are treated as persons in many legal situations. Also, the word “person” includes corporations in most definitions in this dictionary. 3. Any other “being” entitled to sue as a legal entity (a government, an association, a group of Trustees, etc.). 4.. The plural of person is persons, not people (see that word).

Person – Duhaime’s Law Dictionary. An entity with legal rights and existence including the ability to sue and be sued, to sign contracts, to receive gifts, to appear in court either by themselves or by lawyer and, generally, other powers incidental to the full expression of the entity in law. Individuals are “persons” in law unless they are minors or under some kind of other incapacity such as a court finding of mental incapacity. Many laws give certain powers to “persons”, which in almost all instances, includes business organizations that have been formally registered such as partnerships, corporations or associations.

Person, noun. person. – Webster’s 1828 Dictionary. Defines personas: [Latin persona; said to be compounded of per, through or by, and sonus, sound; a Latin word signifying primarily a mask used by actors on the stage.]

legal person – Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary of Law 1996, defines a legal person as : a body of persons or an entity (as a corporation) considered as having many of the rights and responsibilities of a natural person and esp. the capacity to sue and be sued.

A person according to these definitions, is basically an entity – legal fiction – of some kind that has been legally created and has the legal capacity to be sued. Isn’t it odd that the word lawful is not used within these definitions? Well then.... I am not “the United States, this state, or any territory, or any public or private corporation”. I am not “labour organizations, partnerships, associations, corporations, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, or receivers.” So, I cannot be a ‘person’ under this part of the definition.

The RCW quoted above also states that a person could also be an “individual”. Black’s Law Dictionary also defines a person as a “human being,” which they define by stating “(i.e. natural person)”. So, let’s first check to see if I am an “individual”.

Individual – Black’s Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg. 533, defines

“individual” as follows: “As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it may, in proper cases, include artificial persons.”

Well now, I have already been shown that I am not a 'person', and since

'individual' denotes a single 'person' as distinguished from a group or class, I can't be an 'individual' under this definition either. But I see the term 'natural person' used in the definition of the RCW, and also in the definition of some of the Law Dictionaries. Maybe I am a 'natural' person, since I know I am not an 'artificial' one.

I could not find the term 'Natural person' defined anywhere, so I had to look up the word 'natural' for a definition to see if that word would fit with the word person...

Natural – Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition, pg.712, defines 'Natural' as follows: "Untouched by man or by influences of civilization; wild; untutored, and is the opposite of the word "artificial". The juristic meaning of this term does not differ from the vernacular, except in the cases where it is used in opposition to the term "legal"; and then it means proceeding from or determined by physical causes or conditions, as distinguished from positive enactments of law, or attributable to the nature of man rather than the commands of law, or based upon moral rather than legal considerations or sanctions."

Hmmm, what do they mean by this definition? Am I untouched by man (depends on what the word 'man' means), or by influences of civilization? I don't think so. Am I 'wild', or 'untutored'? (Buzzer sound), not me. Even though the definition states that this word is the opposite of the word 'artificial', it still does not describe who I believe I am. So, I must conclude that I am not a 'natural' person, under this definition of the word 'natural'. So, the term 'natural person' cannot apply to me.

Black's Law Dictionary also used the term 'human being', and although Black's defined it as a 'natural person', maybe they made a mistake, maybe I am a 'human being'. 'Human' or 'human being' does not appear to have a 'legal' definition, so I went to my old standby 1888 Noah Webster's Dictionary for a vernacular definition of this word. Maybe Noah would know who I am

Human – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'human' as follows: n. A human being; one of the race of man. [Rare and inelegant.] "Sprung of humans that inhabit earth." ...To me, the etymology of the word Hu-man, suggests that it is a marriage of two separate words 'Hue' (defined as the property of color), and man. But this cannot, of course, be correct, at least not politically correct, so I can't go there, because the word would then mean 'coloured man'!

Am I of the race of man? Rare and inelegant? Sprung of humans that inhabit earth (ground)? (I'm not coloured either). Well, it looks like I have to define the word 'man' through Webster's because there appears to be no legal definition for 'man'.

Man – Webster's 1888 Dictionary defines 'man' as follows: An individual of the human race; a human being; a person.

Great news!!, it looks like we are back to the beginning of our study of definitions, yup, back to the start, completed the circle. I am not an 'individual', so I cannot be considered 'of the human race'; and since I'm not of the human race, I can't be 'a human being', and I've also been shown that I'm not a 'person' either.

Now let us see what the word Human really means.....I am going into detail so TDW can see we have been deceived by the misuse of our own language.

### Definition of Human Being

Are you a 'person', an 'individual', or a 'human being'? These words, at law, define you as being spiritually 'dead.' This is how the world makes its attachment to you. The terms, 'person', 'individual', 'human being', etc., are not in Christ. Words like "individual," and "human being" do not even appear in Scripture! These are 'created' terms by the natural man (1 Cor 2:14). These words describe the 'old man', but not the 'new man' in Christ (Col 3:9-10). In Balantine's Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, 1948, page 389, Human

Being is defined as "See Monster." On page 540 of this same Law Dictionary, Monster is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, pages 879- 880, a Monster is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, depraved, etc., as to horrify others." From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 2nd Edition, page 901, Human Being is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and on page 1461, Unregenerate means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, page 657, Humanitarianism is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

In Colliers New Dictionary of the English Language, 1928, Humanitarian is defined as "a philanthropist; an anti-Trinitarian who rejects the doctrine of Christ's divinity; a perfectionist."

And in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, page 653, Humanism is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

Therefore, when anyone calls himself or herself a 'human being', or a 'humanitarian,' they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I'm an animal; I'm a monster; I'm not saved; I'm unrepentant; I'm an unregenerate sinner; I'm not converted; I'm wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I'm cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God."

a. “Men [Bondman] rely for protection of their right on God’s law, and not upon regulations and proclamations of departments of government, or officers who have been designated to carry laws into effect.” *Baty v. Sale*, 43 Ill. 351.” [Codes, edicts, proclamations, and decisions are not Law, which define or regulate the Good and Lawful Bondman. Therefore, “law” suits are ungodly, and are the redress for and of human beings, i.e., non-believers.]

The Septuagint uses the term “human beings” only one time, and its meaning is identical to the above definitions. Let’s look at the last verse of the book of Jonah, where Nineve was full of men who were unrepentant, unregenerate, unconverted, wicked, sinful, dissolute, cruel, depraved, unenlightened, rejected the importance of a belief in God. Or, in other words, “human beings.”

“And shall not I spare Nineve, the great city, in which dwell more than twelve myriads of human beings, who do not know their right hand or their left hand...?” [Jonah 4:11 (Septuagint)]

The “human beings” of Nineve did not know their right hand from their left because they did not know the Truth and were lost. They did not know God; they were separated from God. However, those human beings were willing to turn from their ways and learn the things of God, so He spared that city from destruction.

b. The term “human being” is also synonymous with the term ‘natural man.’

c. “The natural man is a spiritual monster. His heart is where his feet should be, fixed upon the earth; his heels are lifted up against heaven, which his heart should be set on. His face is towards hell, his back towards heaven. He loves what he should hate and hates what he should love; joys in what he ought to mourn for and mourns for what he ought to rejoice in; glories in his shame, and is ashamed of his glory; abhors what he should desire, and desires what he should abhor.” [Thomas Boston, quoted in *Augustus Toplady, Complete Works* (1794, reprinted by Sprinkle Publications 1987), page 584].

And the Word confirms: “But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.” [1 Corinthians 2:14,]

The above verse witnesses to us that the natural man is spiritually dead. The ‘natural man’ in Scripture is synonymous with the ‘natural person’ as defined in man’s laws.

“Natural Person means human being, and not an artificial or juristic person.” *Shawmut Bank, N.A. v. Valley Farms*, 610 A. 2d. 652, 654; 222 Conn. 361.

Natural Person: Any human being who as such is a legal entity as distinguished from an artificial person, like a corporation, which derives its status as a legal entity from being recognized so in law. Natural Child: The ordinary euphemism for ‘bastard’ or illegitimate.” [Amon v. Moreschi, 296 N.Y. 395, 73 N.E.2d 716.” Max Radin, *Radin’s Law Dictionary* (1955), p. 216.]

Those that are spiritually dead belong to the prince of this world because he's dead himself. Satan has dominion over the natural man, for he is the prince of this world [John 12:31; 14:30; 16:11]; and, because of this, he has dominion over those of the world, i.e., human beings, the natural man – those who receive not the things of the Spirit of God and reject Christ. Because the bondman in Christ is sanctified from the world, he is separated from the adversary's dominion over him—sin [John 8:34].. This is the cause for Christ having sanctified Himself in the Truth of the Word of God – to provide the entrance to the refuge in and through Himself for us. I could provide you more, Manuel, and I do sincerely thank you for your focused attention to this, my honest effort at officially performing the function of my calling in establishing this agreement with you as per Matthew 5:25 and 18:15-20, but I think you are already overwhelmed as I have, upon presentation of facts, destroyed your belief system and now you are aware you are working for a massive criminal organization founded upon a false oath. Can you now see why I must not allow my name to be altered? Remember, every one of the officers of Alberta has a false oath...What kind of authority swears an oath that is bogus??? A false authority!

167. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man, with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that the document titled "Equitable Solution for Forensic Audit of Cestui Que Trust with Interest Calculation," dated April 22, 2025, addressed to the Judge Advocate General of the Canadian Armed Forces and copied to the RCMP, INTERPOL, Federal Court of Canada, and the UN Human Rights Committee, is hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. This letter forms an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement, outlining the factual basis, legal claims, and equitable remedies sought concerning the systemic fraud involving my Cestui Que Trust. Its contents, including but not limited to the demand for a forensic audit, restitution calculations, and references to international covenants such as the ICCPR and ICESCR, are acknowledged and agreed upon as binding terms within this Agreement. The incorporation of this letter ensures that all obligations, acknowledgments, and remedies detailed therein are enforceable under the terms of this Agreement, and any breach of these provisions shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. You consent that his document is available by request or PDF at; <https://www.td-ried.com/>
168. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that It is agreed with no dispute to the fact by you forthcoming that you are aware that I reserve my right under God's law not to be compelled to perform under any fraudulently obtained contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, with informed consent, voluntarily and intentionally. Relevant maxims: He who seeks equity must do equity and Qui tacet consentire videtur" (Silence implies consent).
169. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; This private agreement is issued in truth and good faith. If no written, witnessed objection is received within 30 calendar days of delivery, the terms herein shall be deemed accepted in full, and the parties agree that such acceptance is valid in both law and equity, as supported by Ezekiel 33:6 and Ecclesiastes 5:4–6. Silence or non-response shall constitute lawful acquiescence and willful agreement.

170. It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you; Failure to cure any breach within 30 calendar days of written notice shall constitute consent to immediate escalation to a court or forum of my choosing, including courts of equity, conscience, or international jurisdiction, at my sole discretion and benefit.

171. It is agreed by Mirza Shahbaz, the private man with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you forthcoming from you, that it is agreed by you with no dispute to the fact forthcoming from you, that you are aware that I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed de facto contract or unlawful commercial agreement, which is my ministerial duty pursuant to God's law to divide myself from.

Conversion Torts; The unlawful turning or applying the personal goods of another to the use of the taker, or of some other person than the owner; or the unlawful destroying or altering their nature.

When a party takes away or wrongfully assumes the right to goods which belong to another, it will in general be sufficient evidence of a conversion but when the original taking was lawful, as when the party found the goods, and the detention only is illegal, it is absolutely necessary to make a demand of the goods, and there must be a refusal to deliver them before the conversion will be complete. The refusal by a servant to deliver the goods entrusted to him by his master is not evidence of a conversion by his master. Leviticus 6:2-5 The tortious taking of property is, of itself, a conversion and any intermeddling with it, or any exercise of dominion over it, subversive of the dominion of the owner or the nature of the bailment if it be bailed, is evidence of a conversion.

In Equity, the considering of one thing as changed into another; for example, land will be considered as converted into money and treated as such by a court of equity, when the owner has contracted to sell his estate, in which case, if he die before the conveyance, his executors and not his heirs will be entitled to the money. On the other hand, money is converted into land in a variety of ways as for example, when a man agrees to buy land and dies before he has received the conveyance, the money he was to pay for it will be considered as converted into lands and descend to the heir.

It is agreed upon by you, Mirza Shahbaz, having no dispute to the following fact forthcoming from you; that you the private man are aware and agree that I am a flesh bone blood living man, being God's minister, and that I cannot possibly have been in a dead legal corporate fiction called the Province of Ontario, Canada or other as the Province of Ontario, Canada and other is not a place but a dead corporate fiction of law on paper created for the purpose of extracting money from me in fraud as it is totally against my will, conscience and a violation of my faith to submit to and is being done without my informed consent or cognisant permission for a financial gain.

It is agreed by you, Mirza Shahbaz with full understanding, that failure to refute or disprove the facts provided above, as agreed upon by you, I am advised by

Christ at Matthew 18:15-20 to gain a simple agreement with you privately and very simply as a child so I would like your aid in agreeing with me that respecting persons written as persona in the Latin vulgate is sin and disrespected by God. Due to the emergent nature of this agreement you are required to respond to

this agreement within 10 (ten) days of receipt representing fairness, truth, natural equity. Failure to respond will be deemed acceptance of the terms, including acknowledgment of all outlined facts and obligations. We can see that in Deuteronomy 1:17. 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16. Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, James 2:1-9 and 1st Peter 1:17. If you have no dispute with that scriptural fact I will confirm with witnesses then post our good faith agreement in a paper.

Thankyou for you aid in saving my faith harmless from assumption.

Ecclesiastically Autographed non commercial as: minister Richard, a minister of Christ, officially performing a function of my ministry.

< *minister Richard* >

<electronic mark>

minister Richard Henry

Jhon 15:16

Please do take notice that I, minister Richard, do not make use of birth dates and/or surnames outside of being born again in the holy name, blood, and water of Christ Jesus; my risen Savior Yahushewah Messiah. Also, please feel free to write me if you'd like to know more about my King, Christ Jesus; keeping in mind that your Christian Monarch also serves my King, and I shall share with TDW my 1611 A.D. King James Holy Bible.

-Blessings!